arXiv:1402.1417v1 [math.PR] 6 Feb 2014

Estimates of the rate of approximation in the Central Limit Theorem for L_1-norm of kernel density estimators.

A.Yu. Zaitsev

February 7, 2014

1 Introduction

To fix notation, let X, X_1, $X_2, \ldots$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables in $\mathbf{R}$ with density f. Further let $\{h_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence of positive constants such that $h_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. The classical kernel estimator is defined as

$$f_n(x) \stackrel{\rm def}{=} \frac{1}{nh_n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h_n}\right), \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbf{R}, \tag{1}$$

where K is a kernel satisfying

$$K(u) = 0, \quad \text{for } |u| > 1/2; \tag{2}$$

$$||K||_\infty = \sup_{u\in\mathbf{R}} |K(u)| = \kappa < \infty; \tag{3}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} K(u)\, du = 1. \tag{4}$$

Let $||\cdot||$ denote the $L_1(\mathbf{R})$-norm. Write $||K^2|| = \int_{\mathbf{R}} K^2(u)\, du$. For any $t \in \mathbf{R}$, set

$$\rho(t) = \rho(t, K) \stackrel{\rm def}{=} \frac{\int_{\mathbf{R}} K(u)\, K(u+t)\, du}{||K^2||}. \tag{5}$$

Clearly, $\rho(t)$ is a continuous function of t, $|\rho(t)| \leq 1$, $\rho(0) = 1$ and $\rho(t) = 0$ for $|t| \geq 1$. Let Z, Z_1 and Z_2 be independent standard normal random variables and set

$$\sigma^2 = \sigma^2(K) \stackrel{\rm def}{=} ||K^2|| \int_{-1}^{1} \operatorname{cov}\left(\left|\sqrt{1-\rho^2(t)}\, Z_1 + \rho(t)\, Z_2\right|, |Z_2|\right) dt. \tag{6}$$

By definition, any Lebesgue density function f is an element of $L_1(\mathbf{R})$. This reason was used by Devroye and Györfi to justify the assertion that $||f_n - f||$ is the natural distance between a

density function f and its estimator f_n. In their book, Devroye and Györfi [6], they posed the question about the asymptotic distribution of $||f_n - f||$.

M. Csörgő and Horváth [4] were the first who proved a Central Limit Theorem (CLT) for $||f_n - f||_p$, the L_p-norm distance, $p \geq 1$. Horváth [9] introduced a Poissonization technique into the study of CLTs for $||f_n - f||_p$. The M. Csörgő and Horváth [4] and Horváth [9] results required some regularity conditions. Beirlant and Mason [1] introduced a general method for deriving the asymptotic normality of the L_p-norm of empirical functionals. Mason (see Theorem 8.9 in Eggermont and LaRiccia [7]) has applied their method to the special case of the L_1-norm of the kernel density estimator and proved Theorem 1 below. Giné, Mason and Zaitsev [10] extended the CLT result of Theorem 1 to processes indexed by kernels K.

Theorem 1 shows that $\|f_n - \mathbf{E}\, f_n\|$ is asymptotically normal under no assumptions at all on the density f. Centering by $\mathbf{E}\, f_n$ is more natural from a probabilistic point of view. The estimation of $\|f - \mathbf{E}\, f_n\|$ (if needed) is a purely analytic problem. The main results of this paper (Theorems 2, 4 and 5) provide estimates of the rate of strong approximation and bounds for probabilities of moderate deviations in the CLT of Theorem 1.

Theorem 1. *For any Lebesgue density f and for any sequence of positive constants $\{h_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ satisfying $h_n \to 0$ and $nh_n^2 \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$, we have*

$$\frac{\|f_n - \mathbf{E}\, f_n\| - \mathbf{E}\, \|f_n - \mathbf{E}\, f_n\|}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(\|f_n - \mathbf{E}\, f_n\|)}} \to_d Z \tag{7}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\, \mathrm{Var}(\|f_n - \mathbf{E}\, f_n\|) = \sigma^2. \tag{8}$$

The variance σ^2 has an alternate representation. Using the formulas for the absolute moments of a bivariate normal random variable of Nabeya [13], we can write

$$\mathrm{cov}\left(\left|\sqrt{1-\rho^2(t)}\, Z_1 + \rho(t)\, Z_2\right|, |Z_2|\right) = \varphi\left(\rho(t)\right),$$

where

$$\varphi(\rho) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \frac{2}{\pi}\left(\rho \arcsin \rho + \sqrt{1-\rho^2} - 1\right), \quad \rho \in [-1, 1]. \tag{9}$$

It is easy to see that $\varphi(\rho)$ is strictly positive for $\rho \neq 0$. Therefore $\sigma^2 > 0$. Note that by (2), (3) and (6),

$$\sigma^2 \leq 2\, ||K^2|| \leq 2\, \kappa^2. \tag{10}$$

In what follows the conditions of Theorem 1 are assumed to hold unless stated otherwise. We shall denote by A_j different universal constants. We write A for different constants when we do not fix their numerical values. Throughout the paper, θ symbolizes any quantity not

exceeding one in absolute value. The indicator function of a set E will be denoted by $\mathbf{1}_E(\,\cdot\,)$. We write $\log^* b = \max\{e, \log b\}$.

Let η be a Poisson (n) random variable, i.e. a Poisson random variable with mean n, independent of $X, X_1, X_2, \ldots$ and set

$$f_\eta(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{nh_n} \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h_n}\right), \tag{11}$$

where the empty sum is defined to be zero. Notice that

$$\mathbf{E}\, f_\eta(x) = \mathbf{E}\, f_n(x) = h_n^{-1}\, \mathbf{E}\, K\left(\frac{x - X}{h_n}\right), \tag{12}$$

$$k_n(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} n \operatorname{Var}\left(f_\eta(x)\right) = h_n^{-2}\, \mathbf{E}\, K^2\left(\frac{x - X}{h_n}\right), \tag{13}$$

and

$$n \operatorname{Var}\left(f_n(x)\right) = h_n^{-2}\, \mathbf{E}\, K^2\left(\frac{x - X}{h_n}\right) - \left\{h_n^{-1}\, \mathbf{E}\, K\left(\frac{x - X}{h_n}\right)\right\}^2. \tag{14}$$

Define

$$T_\eta(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\sqrt{n}\,\{f_\eta(x) - \mathbf{E}\, f_n(x)\}}{\sqrt{k_n(x)}}. \tag{15}$$

Let η_1 be a Poisson random variable with mean 1, independent of $X, X_1, X_2, \ldots$, and set

$$Y_n(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left[\sum_{j \le \eta_1} K\left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n}\right) - \mathbf{E}\, K\left(\frac{x - X}{h_n}\right)\right] \Bigg/ \sqrt{\mathbf{E}\, K^2\left(\frac{x - X}{h_n}\right)}. \tag{16}$$

Let $Y_n^{(1)}(x), \ldots, Y_n^{(n)}(x)$ be i.i.d. $Y_n(x)$. Clearly (see (11)–(13) and (15)),

$$T_\eta(x) =_d \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_n^{(i)}(x)}{\sqrt{n}}. \tag{17}$$

Set, for any Borel sets B, E,

$$J_n(B) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{n} \int_B \{|f_\eta(x) - \mathbf{E}\, f_n(x)| - \mathbf{E}\, |f_\eta(x) - \mathbf{E}\, f_n(x)|\}\, dx, \tag{18}$$

$$v_n(B, E) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{E}\, \left[J_n(B)\, J_n(E)\right], \tag{19}$$

$$\sigma_n^2(B) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{E}\, J_n^2(B) = v_n(B, B), \tag{20}$$

$$\mathbf{P}(B) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_B f(x)\, dx = \mathbf{P}\left\{X \in B\right\}, \tag{21}$$

and

$$R_n(B,E) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_B \left(\int_{-1}^{1} |g_n(x,t,E) - g(x,t,E)| \, dt \right) dx, \tag{22}$$

where

$$g(x,t,E) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{1}_E(x) \operatorname{cov}\left(\left| \sqrt{1-\rho^2(t)}\, Z_1 + \rho(t)\, Z_2 \right|, |Z_2| \right) f(x), \tag{23}$$

$$g_n(x,t,E) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{1}_E(x) \mathbf{1}_E(x+th_n)\, \mathbb{C}_n\left(x, x+th_n\right) \sqrt{f(x)\, f(x+th_n)}, \tag{24}$$

$$\mathbb{C}_n\left(x,y\right) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{cov}\left(\left| \sqrt{1-\rho_{n,x,y}^2} Z_1 + \rho_{n,x,y}\, Z_2 \right|, |Z_2| \right), \tag{25}$$

Z_1 and Z_2 are independent standard normal random variables and

$$\rho_{n,x,y} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{E}\, T_\eta(x)\, T_\eta(y) = \mathbf{E}\, Y_n(x)\, Y_n(y) = \frac{\mathbf{E}\left[K\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right) K\left(\frac{y-X}{h_n}\right) \right]}{\sqrt{\mathbf{E}\, K^2\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right) \mathbf{E}\, K^2\left(\frac{y-X}{h_n}\right)}}. \tag{26}$$

Note that $\mathbb{C}_n\left(x,y\right)$ is non-negative and

$$\sup_{x,y \in \mathbf{R}} \mathbb{C}_n\left(x,y\right) \le 1. \tag{27}$$

The following Lemma 1 will be proved in Section 2. It is crucial for the formulation of the main results of the paper, Theorems 2, 4 and 5 below.

Lemma 1. *Whenever $h_n \to 0$ and $nh_n^2 \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$, there exist sequences of Borel sets*

$$E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \cdots \subset E_n \subset \cdots \tag{28}$$

and constants $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{D_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that the density $f(x)$ is continuous, for $x \in E_n$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots,$ and relations

$$\phi_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbf{R} \setminus E_n} f(x)\, dx \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{29}$$

$$0 < \beta_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf_{y \in E_n} f(y) \le f(x) \le D_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{y \in E_n} f(y) < \infty, \quad \text{for } x \in E_n, \tag{30}$$

and

$$\varepsilon_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{H \in \mathcal{H}_0} \sup_{x \in E_n} |f * H_{h_n}(x) - I(H)\, f(x)| \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{31}$$

are valid, where

$$I(H) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbf{R}} H(x)\, dx, \tag{32}$$

$$f * H_h(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(z)\, H\left(\frac{x-z}{h}\right)\, dz, \tag{33}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{K, K^2, |K|^3, \mathbf{1}\{x : |x| \le 1/2\}\right\}. \tag{34}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D_n^{1/2}}{\beta_n^{1/2}} \left(\frac{1}{(\beta_n\, n h_n)^{1/5}} + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\beta_n}\right) \quad &+ \quad R_n(E_n, E_n) + \frac{\lambda(E_n)}{\sqrt{n h_n^2}} + D_n\, h_n \\ &+ \quad \frac{D_n^3\, P_n}{\beta_n^3} + \mathbb{N}_n \sqrt{h_n} \to 0, \quad \textit{as } n \to \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where $R_n(E_n, E_n)$ is defined in (22)*, $\lambda(\,\cdot\,)$ means the Lebesgue measure,*

$$\mathbb{N}_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{E_n} f^{3/2}(x)\, dx, \tag{36}$$

and

$$P_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \mathbf{P}\left\{[x, x + 2\, h_n]\right\}. \tag{37}$$

Theorem 2. *There exists an absolute constant A such that, whenever $h_n \to 0$ and $n h_n^2 \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$, for any sequence of Borel sets $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n, \ldots$ satisfying* (29)–(35)*, there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that, for any fixed $x > 0$ and for sufficiently large fixed $n \ge n_0$, one can construct on a probability space a sequence of i.i.d. random variables $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ and a standard normal random variable Z such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{P}\left\{\left|\sqrt{n}\,\|f_n - \mathbf{E}\, f_n\| - \sqrt{n}\,\mathbf{E}\,\|f_n - \mathbf{E}\, f_n\| - \sigma\, Z\right| \ge y_n + z + x\right\} \\ \le \quad & A\left(\exp\left\{-A^{-1}\,\sigma^{-1} x/\tau_n^*\right\} + \exp\left\{-A^{-1}\,\kappa^{-1}\,\Omega_n^{-1/2} z\, \log^*\log^*(z/A\,\kappa\,\Omega_n^{1/2})\right\}\right. \\ + \quad & \left.\mathbf{P}\left\{|\partial_n Z| \ge z/2\right\}\right), \quad \textit{for any } z > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where

$$\tau_n^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A\,\Psi_n^{3/2}\,(P_n + \psi_n)^{1/2} \to 0, \quad \textit{as } n \to \infty, \tag{39}$$

$$y_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{A\,\lambda(E_n)\,\|K^3\|}{\|K^2\|\,\sqrt{n h_n^2}} + \frac{A\,\mathbb{N}_n \sqrt{h_n}}{\sqrt{\|K^2\|}} \to 0, \quad \textit{as } n \to \infty, \tag{40}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n \quad &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \quad \frac{A\,||K^2||}{\sigma\, h_n}\left(\mathbb{L}_n + \frac{\varepsilon_n\,\mathbb{M}_n}{\|K^2\|}\right) \\ &+ \quad A\,\kappa\,\Omega_n^{1/2} + \frac{A}{\sigma}\left(\frac{\|K^3\|\;\lambda(E_n)}{\|K^2\|\,\sqrt{n h_n^2}}\right)^2 \to 0, \quad \textit{as } n \to \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

$$\mathbb{L}_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{E_n}\int_{E_n} \mathbf{1}\{|x-y| \le h_n\}\, \sqrt{f(x)\, f(y)}\; \mathbb{K}_n(x,y)\, dx\, dy, \tag{42}$$

$$\mathbb{K}_n(x,y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min\left\{1-\rho_{n,x,y}^2,\, \frac{\|K^3\|}{\left(1-\rho_{n,x,y}^2\right)^{3/2}\, \|K^2\|^{3/2}\, \sqrt{n\, h_n\, f(x)}}\right\} \tag{43}$$

$$\mathbb{M}_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{E_n}\int_{E_n} \mathbf{1}\{|x-y| \le h_n\}\, f^{1/2}(x)\, f^{-1/2}(y)\, dx\, dy, \tag{44}$$

$$\Omega_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \alpha_n + 2\, P_n + 2\, \phi_n + \frac{4\, ||K^2||\, R_n(E_n,E_n)}{\sigma^2} + L(n,\mathbf{R}) \to 0, \quad \textit{as } n \to \infty, \tag{45}$$

$$\alpha_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1296}{5}\, (\tau_n^*)^2 \log\frac{1}{\tau_n^*}, \tag{46}$$

$$\Psi_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\|K^2\right\|\, D_n\, \beta_n^{-1}\, \kappa^2\, \sigma^{-4}, \tag{47}$$

$$\psi_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 256\, \kappa^2\, \sigma^{-2}\, \min\left\{P_n, D_n\, h_n\right\}, \tag{48}$$

$$L(n,\mathbf{R}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left|h_n^{-1}\, \mathbf{P}\{X \in [x-h_n/2, x+h_n/2]\} - f(x)\right|\, dx \to 0, \quad \textit{as } n \to \infty. \tag{49}$$

Denote by $F\{\,\cdot\,\}$ and $\Phi\{\,\cdot\,\}$ the probability distributions which correspond to the random variables $\sqrt{n}\, (\|f_n - \mathbf{E}\, f_n\| - \mathbf{E}\, \|f_n - \mathbf{E}\, f_n\|)\, /\sigma$ and Z, respectively. The Prokhorov distance is defined by $\pi(F,\Phi) = \inf\{\varepsilon : \pi(F,\Phi,\varepsilon) \le \varepsilon\}$, where

$$\pi(F,\Phi,\varepsilon) = \sup_X \max\left\{F\{X\} - \Phi\{X^\varepsilon\},\, \Phi\{X\} - F\{X^\varepsilon\}\right\}, \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

and X^ε is the ε-neighborhood of the Borel set X.

Corollary 3. *There exists an absolute constant A such that, whenever $h_n \to 0$ and $nh_n^2 \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$, for any sequence of Borel sets $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n, \ldots$ satisfying (29)–(35), there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that, for sufficiently large fixed $n \ge n_0$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$\pi(F,\Phi,2\,\varepsilon + y_n/\sigma) \le A\, \Big(\exp\left\{-A^{-1}\, \kappa^{-1}\, \Omega_n^{-1/2} \sigma\, \varepsilon\, \log^*\log^*(\sigma\, \varepsilon / A\, \kappa\, \Omega_n^{1/2})\right\}$$

$$+\, \exp\left\{-A^{-1}\, \varepsilon/\tau_n^*\right\} + \mathbf{P}\left\{|\partial_n Z| \ge \sigma\, \varepsilon/2\right\}\Big)$$

and

$$\pi(F,\Phi) \le y_n/\sigma + A\, \tau_n^*\, \log^*(1/\tau_n^*)$$

$$+ A\, \kappa\, \Omega_n^{1/2} \sigma^{-1}\, \log^*\left(\sigma/\kappa\, \Omega_n^{1/2}\right) / \log^*\log^*(\sigma/\kappa\, \Omega_n^{1/2}) + A\, \partial_n\, \sigma^{-1}\, \sqrt{\log^*(\sigma/\partial_n)},$$

where $\tau_n^*, y_n, \Omega_n, \partial_n$ are defined in (39)–(49).

Theorem 4. *There exists an absolute constant A such that, whenever $h_n \to 0$ and $nh_n^2 \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$, for any sequence of Borel sets $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n, \ldots$ satisfying (29)–(35), there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that, for sufficiently large fixed $n \geq n_0$ and for any fixed b satisfying $\tau_n^* \leq A^{-1} b$, $b \leq 1$, one can construct on a probability space a sequence of i.i.d. random variables $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ and a standard normal random variable Z such that*

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{\left|\sqrt{n}\,\|f_n - \mathbf{E}\,f_n\| - \sqrt{n}\,\mathbf{E}\,\|f_n - \mathbf{E}\,f_n\| - \sigma\,Z\right|\right. \tag{50}$$

$$\geq A\,\sigma\,\exp\{-b^2/72\,(\tau_n^*)^2\} + y_n + z + x\Big\}$$

$$\leq A\,\Big(\exp\left\{-A^{-1}\,\sigma^{-1} x/\tau_n^*\right\} + \exp\{-A^{-1}\,\kappa^{-1}\,\Omega_n^{-1/2} z\,\log^*\log^*(z/A\,\kappa\,\Omega_n^{1/2})\}$$

$$+ \mathbf{P}\left\{b\,|Z| > A^{-1}\,\sigma^{-1} x\right\} + \mathbf{P}\left\{|\partial_n Z| \geq z/2\right\}\Big), \quad \textit{for any } x, z > 0,$$

where $\tau_n^*, y_n, \Omega_n, \partial_n$ are defined in (39)–(49).

In the formulations of Theorems 2 and 4 and Corollary 3, the numbers n_0 depend on $\{h_n\}_{n\geq 1}$, $\{E_n\}_{n\geq 1}$, f and K.

Comparing Theorems 2 and 4, we observe that in Theorem 2 the probability space depends essentially on x, while in the statement of Theorem 4 inequality (50) is valid on the same probability space (depending on b) for any $x > 0$. However, (50) is weaker than (38) for some values of x. The same rate of approximation (as in (38)) is contained in (50) if $b^2 \geq 72\,(\tau_n^*)^2 \log(1/\tau_n^*)$ and $x \geq b^2\sigma/\tau_n^*$ only. Denote now by $F(\,\cdot\,)$ and $\Phi(\,\cdot\,)$ the distribution functions of the random variables $\sqrt{n}\,(\|f_n - \mathbf{E}\,f_n\| - \mathbf{E}\,\|f_n - \mathbf{E}\,f_n\|)\,/\sigma$ and Z, respectively. For example, $\Phi(x) = \Phi\,\{(-\infty, x]\}$. The following statement about moderate deviations follows from Theorem 2.

Theorem 5. *Under the conditions of Theorem 2, we have*

$$F(-x)/\Phi(-x) \to 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad (1 - F(x))\,/\,(1 - \Phi(x)) \to 1 \quad \textit{as } n \to \infty,$$

if

$$0 < x = x_n = o\left(\min\left\{(\tau_n^*)^{-1/3},\ \Omega_n^{-1/6}\,(\log^*\log^*(1/\,\Omega_n))^{1/3},\ y_n^{-1},\ \partial_n^{-1/2}\right\}\right).$$

The choice of sets E_n, which are involved in the formulations of our results, is not unique. Lemma 1 ensures that, for *any* density f, there exist sets E_n such that the quantities τ_n^*, y_n, Ω_n and ∂_n tend to zero. The optimization of the choice of E_n is a separate problem. However, for sufficiently regular densities f, it is not difficult to choose E_n so that the rate of approximation is good enough, see the examples below. In our treatment of these examples, we shall use the fact that the function $\varphi(\rho)$ in (9) satisfies the Lipshitz condition $|\varphi(\rho_1) - \varphi(\rho_2)| \leq |\rho_1 - \rho_2|$.

Example 1. Consider the density f of the form $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} r_j(x)\,\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{J}_j}(x)$, where functions $r_j(\,\cdot\,) > 0$ satisfy the Lipshitz condition

$$|\,r_j(x) - r_j(y)| \le C\,|x-y|^{\gamma}\,, \quad 0 < \gamma \le 1, \qquad \text{for } x, y \in \mathcal{J}_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m,$$

where constants C and γ are independent of j and $\mathcal{J}_j = [a_j, b_j)$, $a_j < b_j$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, is a finite collection of disjoint intervals. Assume that the values of functions r_j are separated from zero and infinity:

$$0 < \beta \le r_j(x) \le D < \infty \qquad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{J}_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$

Choose

$$E_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} [a_j + h_n/2, b_j - h_n/2].$$

Without loss of generality we assume $a_j + h_n/2 < b_j - h_n/2$ and $h_n \le 1/4$. Then it is easy to estimate $\phi_n = O\,(h_n)$, $\beta \le \beta_n \le D_n \le D$, $\varepsilon_n = O\,(h_n^{\gamma})$, $P_n = O\,(h_n)$, $\Psi_n = O\,(1)$, $\psi_n = O\,(h_n)$, $\lambda(E_n) = O\,(1)$, $\mathbb{N}_n = O\,(1)$, $y_n = O\left(1/\sqrt{nh_n^2} + \sqrt{h_n}\right)$, $L(n, \mathbf{R}) = O\,(h_n^{\gamma})$, $\tau_n^* = O\left(\sqrt{h_n}\right)$, $\alpha_n = O\left(h_n \log \frac{1}{h_n}\right)$, $R_n(E_n, E_n) = O\,(h_n^{\gamma})$, $\Omega_n = O\left(h_n \log \frac{1}{h_n} + h_n^{\gamma}\right)$, $\mathbb{L}_n = O\left(h_n\,(nh_n)^{-1/5}\right)$, $\mathbb{M}_n = O\,(h_n)$,

$$\partial_n = O\left(\sqrt{h_n \log \frac{1}{h_n}} + h_n^{\gamma/2} + (nh_n)^{-1/5} + \frac{1}{nh_n^2}\right).$$

Thus, the statement of Theorem 5 is valid for

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;<\; x = x_n = o\Bigg(\min\Bigg\{ & h_n^{-1/6}\left(\log \frac{1}{h_n}\right)^{-1/6}\left(\log\log \frac{1}{h_n}\right)^{1/3}, \\ & h_n^{-\gamma/6}\left(\log\log \frac{1}{h_n}\right)^{1/3}, \;\; (nh_n)^{1/10}, \;\; \left(nh_n^2\right)^{1/2} \Bigg\}\Bigg). \end{aligned}$$

Example 2. Consider the standard normal density $f(x) = e^{-x^2/2}/\sqrt{2\pi}$. Choose

$$E_n = \left[-\sqrt{2^{-1}\,\log \frac{1}{h_n}}, \sqrt{2^{-1}\,\log \frac{1}{h_n}}\right].$$

Without loss of generality we assume $h_n \le 1/4$. Then $\phi_n = O\left(h_n^{1/4}\right)$, $\beta_n^{-1} = O\left(h_n^{-1/4}\right)$, $D_n = O\,(1)$, $\varepsilon_n = O\,(h_n)$, $P_n = O\,(h_n)$, $\Psi_n = O\left(h_n^{-1/4}\right)$, $\psi_n = O\,(h_n)$, $L(n, \mathbf{R}) = O\,(h_n)$, $\tau_n^* = O\left(h_n^{1/8}\right)$, $\alpha_n = O\left(h_n^{1/4} \log \frac{1}{h_n}\right)$, $R_n(E_n, E_n) = O\,(h_n)$, $\Omega_n = O\left(h_n^{1/4} \log \frac{1}{h_n}\right)$, $\mathbb{L}_n = O\left(h_n\,(nh_n)^{-1/5}\right)$, $\mathbb{M}_n = O\left(h_n \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{h_n}}\right)$, $\mathbb{N}_n = O\,(1)$, $\lambda(E_n) = O\left(\sqrt{\log \frac{1}{h_n}}\right)$,

$$y_n = O\left(\sqrt{\log \frac{1}{h_n}}/\sqrt{nh_n^2} + \sqrt{h_n}\right),$$

$$\partial_n = O\left(h_n^{1/8} \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{h_n}} + (nh_n)^{-1/5} + \frac{\log \frac{1}{h_n}}{nh_n^2} \right).$$

The statement of Theorem 5 is valid for

$$0 < x = x_n = o\left(\min \left\{ h_n^{-1/24} \left(\log \frac{1}{h_n} \right)^{-1/6} \left(\log \log \frac{1}{h_n} \right)^{1/3}, \quad \left(nh_n^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\log \frac{1}{h_n} \right)^{-1/2} \right\} \right).$$

Example 3. Consider the density

$$f(x) = f_\gamma(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{-\gamma} (1-\gamma), & 0 < x \le 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \qquad 0 < \gamma < 1.$$

Choose $\alpha = \frac{1-\gamma}{1+2\gamma}$ and $E_n = [h_n^\alpha, 1 - h_n]$. Without loss of generality we assume $h_n \le 1/8$. Then it is easy to estimate $\phi_n = O\left(h_n^{(1-\gamma)\alpha} \right)$, $\beta_n^{-1} = O(1)$, $D_n = O\left(h_n^{-\gamma\alpha} \right)$, $\varepsilon_n = O\left(h_n^{1-(1+\gamma)\alpha} \right)$, $P_n = O\left(h_n^{1-\gamma} \right)$, $\Psi_n = O\left(h_n^{-\gamma\alpha} \right)$, $\psi_n = O\left(h_n^{1-\gamma\alpha} \right)$, $\mathbb{N}_n = O\left(h_n^{(1-3\gamma/2)\alpha} + \mathbf{1}\{\gamma = 2/3\} \log \frac{1}{h_n} \right)$, $\mathbb{L}_n = O\left(h_n (nh_n)^{-1/5} \right)$, $\mathbb{M}_n = O(h_n)$, $\lambda(E_n) = O(1)$, $y_n = O\left(1/\sqrt{nh_n^2} + h_n^{(1-3\gamma/2)\alpha} \sqrt{h_n} \right)$, $R_n(E_n, E_n) = O(h_n^{1-2\gamma\alpha})$, $\tau_n^* = O(h_n^{(1-\gamma-3\gamma\alpha)/2})$, $\alpha_n = O\left(h_n^{1-\gamma-3\gamma\alpha} \log \frac{1}{h_n} \right)$, $L(n, \mathbf{R}) = O\left(h_n^{1-\gamma} \right)$, $\partial_n = O\left(\Omega_n^{1/2} + (nh_n)^{-1/5} + \frac{1}{nh_n^2} \right)$, $\Omega_n = O\left(h_n^{1-\gamma-3\gamma\alpha} \log \frac{1}{h_n} + h_n^{(1-\gamma)\alpha} \right)$. The statement of Theorem 5 is valid for

$$0 < x_n = o\left(\min \left\{ h_n^{-(1-\gamma)^2/6(1+2\gamma)} \left(\log \frac{1}{h_n} \right)^{-1/6} \left(\log \log \frac{1}{h_n} \right)^{1/3}, \right. \right. \tag{51}$$
$$\left. \left. (nh_n)^{1/10}, \quad \left(nh_n^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\} \right).$$

Note that the logarithmic factor in (51) could be slightly improved by means of a more careful choice of the intervals E_n.

When estimating $\mathbb{L}_n$ in the examples, we used the fact that, by (30) and (43), for $x, y \in E_n$, we have

$$\mathbb{K}_n(x,y) \le \frac{A \, \|K^3\|^{2/5}}{\|K^2\|^{3/5} \left(nh_n f(x) \right)^{1/5}} \le \frac{A \, \|K^3\|^{2/5}}{\|K^2\|^{3/5} \left(\beta_n \, nh_n \right)^{1/5}}. \tag{52}$$

For some densities f and kernels K, formula (42) may give sharper bounds. For example, if $K = f = \mathbf{1}\{x : |x| \le 1/2\}$ and $E_n = [h_n/2, 1 - h_n/2]$, one can show that $\mathbb{L}_n = O\left(h_n (nh_n)^{-2/5} \right)$. This is better than the rates given in Examples 1 and 3.

Studying the examples and analyzing the statements of Theorems 2, 4 and 5, we see that the rates of normal approximation become worse when the density f is non-smooth or has too

small or too large values. To show that this is essential, let us consider a scheme of series, where the density f may be depending on n. Namely, let

$$f(x) = \left(2a_n^{-1}\right) \mathbf{1}_{[-a_n,a_n]}(x),$$

where a_n may tend to zero or to infinity as $n \to \infty$. It is not difficult to understand that we can choose a_n tending to infinity so fast that, with probability tending to 1, the intervals $[X_i - h_n/2, X_i - h_n/2]$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, are disjoint and the distribution of $\sqrt{n}\,\|f_n - \mathbf{E}\,f_n\| - \sqrt{n}\,(\|K\| + 1)$ converges to the degenerate distribution $\mathbb{E}_0$ concentrated at zero. On the other hand, we can choose a_n tending to zero so fast that $\sqrt{n}\,\|f_n - \mathbf{E}\,f_n\|$ converges to the same degenerate distribution $\mathbb{E}_0$ since it behaves as in the case where $\mathbf{P}\{X = 0\} = 1$. Thus, if all non-zero values of f are very large or very small, then the distribution of $\sqrt{n}\,(\|f_n - \mathbf{E}\,f_n\| - \mathbf{E}\,\|f_n - \mathbf{E}\,f_n\|)$ is far from that of $\sigma\,Z$.

Sections 2–5 are devoted to the proof of Theorems 2, 4 and 5. In the proof, we shall use the Poissonization of the sample size, considering integrals $\int_{E_n}\{|f_\eta - \mathbf{E}\,f_n| - \mathbf{E}\,|f_\eta - \mathbf{E}\,f_n|\}$ instead of $\int_{E_n}\{|f_n - \mathbf{E}\,f_n| - \mathbf{E}\,|f_n - \mathbf{E}\,f_n|\}$. This allows us to use independence properties of the Poisson point process $\{X_1, \ldots, X_\eta\}$. In Section 2, we prove Lemma 1. Lemma 2 provides bounds for variances of integrals over some exceptional sets. Lemma 5 gives estimates for variances of integrals over sets of the form $(a, b) \cap E_n$. Lemma 6 implies bounds for $\sqrt{n}\int_{E_n} |\mathbf{E}\,|f_\eta - \mathbf{E}\,f_n| - \mathbf{E}\,|f_n - \mathbf{E}\,f_n||$. In Section 3, we replace sets E_n by some sets $C_n \subset E_n$ removing "bad" intervals and tails of small measure. Then we represent the integral over C_n as a sum (in i) S_n of 1-dependent integrals $\delta_{i,n}$ over some sets $I_{i,n}$. Lemma 9 provides Bernstein-type bounds for moments of summands $\delta_{i,n}$. Lemma 10 contains a bound for the correlation between S_n and some centered and normalized Poisson random variable $U_n = \sum_i u_{i,n}$. The summands $u_{i,n}$ are independent centered and normalized Poisson random variables and the bivariate random vectors $(\delta_{i,n}, u_{i,n})$ are 1-dependent. In Lemma 12, using bounds from Lemma 9, we prove Bernstein-type bounds for moments of projections of vectors $(\delta_{i,n}, u_{i,n})$ to one-dimensional directions. A result of Heinrich [11], see Lemma 11, implies bounds for cumulants of projections of vectors (S_n, U_n). In Lemma 14, we use these bounds to show that distribution $\mathcal{L}\,((S_n, U_n)) \in \mathcal{A}_2(\tau_n)$ with some $\tau_n \le \tau_n^*$, where $\mathcal{A}_2(\tau_n)$ is a class of distributions introduced by Zaitsev [19]. In Section 4, we get bounds for exponential moments of integrals over exceptional sets, see Lemma 15. These bounds imply exponential inequalities for the tails of the corresponding distributions. Theorems 2, 4 and 5 are proved in Section 5. We use there a result of Zaitsev [23] providing an estimate of the rate of approximation in a de-Poissonization lemma of Beirlant and Mason [1].

2 Preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 2 (cf. the proof of Giné, Mason and Zaitsev [10], Lemma 6.2)**.** *Whenever $h_n \to 0$ and*

$nh_n \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$, for any Borel subset B of $\mathbf{R}$ and any sequence of functions $a_n \in L_1(\mathbf{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{E}\left(\sqrt{n}\int_B \{|f_n(x)-a_n(x)| - \mathbf{E}\,|f_n(x)-a_n(x)|\}\,dx\right)^2 \qquad (53)\\ \le\ & d(n,B) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 4\,\|K\|_\infty\,\mathbf{E}\,\frac{1}{h_n}\int_B \left|K\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\right|\,dx, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\sqrt{n}\int_B \{|f_\eta(x)-a_n(x)| - \mathbf{E}|f_\eta(x)-a_n(x)|\}\,dx\right)^2 \le 2\,d(n,B), \qquad (54)$$

where $d(n,B)$ satisfies

$$d(n,B) \le 4\,\kappa^2\,\Omega(n,B) \qquad (55)$$

with

$$\Omega(n,B) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\int_B f(x)\ dx + L(n,B)\right), \qquad (56)$$

$$L(n,B) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_B \left|h_n^{-1}\mathbf{P}\{X \in [x-h_n/2, x+h_n/2]\} - f(x)\right|\ dx \le L(n,\mathbf{R}) \to 0, \qquad (57)$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Applying the main result in Pinelis [15], we get (see (2))

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{E}\left(\sqrt{n}\int_B \{|f_n(x)-a_n(x)| - \mathbf{E}|f_n(x)-a_n(x)|\}\ dx\right)^2\\ \le\ & 4\,\mathbf{E}\left(\frac{1}{h_n}\int_B \left|K\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\right|\,dx\right)^2 \qquad (58)\\ \le\ & 4\,\|K\|_\infty\,\mathbf{E}\,\frac{1}{h_n}\int_B \left|K\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\right|\,dx. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, taking into account (13) and (15)–(17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{E}\left(\sqrt{n}\int_B \{|f_\eta(x)-a_n(x)| - \mathbf{E}|f_\eta(x)-a_n(x)|\}\ dx\right)^2\\ \le\ & 4\,\mathbf{E}\left(\frac{1}{h_n}\int_B \left|\sum_{j\le\eta_1} K\left(\frac{x-X_j}{h_n}\right)\right|\,dx\right)^2\\ \le\ & 4\,\|K\|_\infty\,\mathbf{E}\,\frac{1}{h_n}\int_B \left|K\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\right|\,dx\,\mathbf{E}\,\eta_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2) and (3), we obtain

$$\|K\|_\infty\,\mathbf{E}\,\frac{1}{h_n}\int_B \left|K\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\right|\,dx \le \kappa^2 h_n^{-1}\int_B \mathbf{P}\{X \in [x-h_n/2, x+h_n/2]\}\,dx.$$

Furthermore, $\mathbf{E}\,\eta_1^2 = 2$ and

$$h_n^{-1} \int_B \mathbf{P}\{X \in [x - h_n/2, x + h_n/2]\}\, dx \le \int_B f(x)\ dx + L(n, B) = \Omega(n, B). \tag{59}$$

By a special case of Theorem 1 in Chapter 2 of Devroye and Györfi [6],

$$L(n, \mathbf{R}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left| h_n^{-1}\, \mathbf{P}\{X \in [x - h_n/2, x + h_n/2]\} - f(x) \right|\, dx \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 2.

We shall apply Lemma 2 in the case where $a_n(x) = \mathbf{E}\, f_n(x)$. Note that in this situation a similar bound may be derived from Theorem 2.1 of de Acosta [3]. Also see Devroye [5], who obtains the bound (58) with $a_n(x) = f(x)$. The following standard lemma follows from Theorem 3 in Chapter 2 of Devroye and Györfi [6].

Lemma 3 (see Giné, Mason and Zaitsev [10], Lemma 6.1)**.** *Suppose that H is a uniformly bounded real valued function, which is equal to zero off a compact interval. Then*

$$|f * H_h(x) - I(H)\, f(x)| \to 0, \qquad \text{as } h \searrow 0, \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \mathbf{R}, \tag{60}$$

where $I(H)$ and $f * H_h(x)$ are defined in (32) *and* (33)*.*

Proof of Lemma 1. Applying for each $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and for $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0$ Lemma 6.1 from Giné, Mason and Zaitsev [10], we conclude that there exist measurable sets $Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_m, \ldots$ such that

$$\int_{Q_m} f(x)\, dx \ge 1 - 2^{-m}, \tag{61}$$

f is continuous, for $x \in Q_m$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, and, uniformly in $H \in \mathcal{H}_0$,

$$\sup_{x \in Q_m} |f * H_{h_n}(x) - I(H)\, f(x)| \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{62}$$

Write

$$Q_s^* = \bigcup_{m=1}^{s} Q_m. \tag{63}$$

By (61)–(63),

$$\int_{Q_s^*} f(x)\, dx \ge 1 - 2^{-s}, \tag{64}$$

$$Q_1^* \subset Q_2^* \subset \cdots \subset Q_s^* \subset \cdots \tag{65}$$

and, for $s = 1, 2, \ldots,$

$$\sup_{x \in Q_s^*,\, H \in \mathcal{H}_0} |f * H_{h_n}(x) - I(H)\, f(x)| \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{66}$$

Define $m_1 = 1,$

$$m_s = \min \left\{ m > m_{s-1} : \sup_{n \geq m} \sup_{x \in Q_s^*,\, H \in \mathcal{H}_0} |f * H_{h_n}(x) - I(H)\, f(x)| < 2^{-s} \right\}, \tag{67}$$

for $s = 2, 3, \ldots,$ and

$$F_l = Q_s^*, \quad \text{for } m_s \leq l < m_{s+1}. \tag{68}$$

By (64)–(68),

$$\int_{F_l} f(x)\, dx \nearrow 1, \quad \text{as } l \to \infty, \tag{69}$$

$$F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_l \subset \cdots \tag{70}$$

and, for $l = 1, 2, \ldots,$

$$\varepsilon_{l,n}^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{m \geq n} \sup_{x \in F_l,\, H \in \mathcal{H}_0} |f * H_{h_m}(x) - I(H)\, f(x)| \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{71}$$

Let sequences $\{\beta_n^*\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{D_n^*\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfy conditions

$$0 < \beta_n^* < D_n^* < \infty; \qquad \beta_n^* \searrow 0, \quad D_n^* \nearrow \infty, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{72}$$

Define, for $l = 1, 2, \ldots,$

$$G_l = \{x \in F_l : \beta_l^* \leq f(x) \leq D_l^*\}. \tag{73}$$

Recall that $\mathbb{C}_n(x, y)$ and $\rho_{n,x,y}$ were defined in (25) and (26). Also observe that

$$\rho_{n,x,x+th_n} = \frac{h_n^{-1}\, \mathbf{E}\left[K\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right) K\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n} + t\right)\right]}{\sqrt{h_n^{-1}\, \mathbf{E}\, K^2\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right) h_n^{-1}\, \mathbf{E}\, K^2\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n} + t\right)}},$$

see (26). Applying Lemma 3, with $H(u) = K(u)\, K(u+t)$, we get, for each t, that, for almost every $x \in G_l$,

$$h_n^{-1}\, \mathbf{E}\left[K\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right) K\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n} + t\right)\right] \to f(x) \int_{\mathbf{R}} K(u)\, K(u+t)\, du, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Moreover, we get with $H(u) = K^2(u)$ and $H(u) = K^2(u+t)$, respectively, for almost every $x \in G_l$, both

$$h_n^{-1}\, \mathbf{E}\, K^2\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right) \to f(x)\, ||K^2||, \quad \text{and} \quad h_n^{-1}\, \mathbf{E}\, K^2\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n} + t\right) \to f(x)\, ||K^2||.$$

Thus, for each t and almost every $x \in G_l$,

$$\rho_{n,x,x+th_n} \to \rho(t), \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

and $\mathbb{C}_n(x, x+th_n) \to \operatorname{cov}\left(\left|\sqrt{1-\rho^2(t)}Z_1 + \rho(t)\, Z_2\right|, |Z_2|\right)$. By Lemma 6.4 from Giné, Mason and Zaitsev [10], $\mathbf{1}_{G_l}(x+h_nt)$ converges in measure to $\mathbf{1}_{G_l}(x) = 1$ on $G_l \times [-1,1]$, and $f(x+h_nt)\,\mathbf{1}_{G_l}(x+h_nt)$ converges in measure to $f(x)$ on $G_l \times [-1,1]$ as functions of x and t. Combining these observations, we readily conclude that $g_n(x,t,G_l)$ converges in measure on $G_l \times [-1,1]$ to $g(x,t,G_l)$. By (23), (24), (27) and (73), functions $g(x,t,G_l)$ and $g_n(x,t,G_l)$ are uniformly bounded on $G_l \times [-1,1]$. This implies

$$R_n(G_l, G_l) = \int_{G_l} \left(\int_{-1}^{1} |g_n(x,t,G_l) - g(x,t,G_l)|\, dt \right) dx \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

It is easy to see that

$$P_n \to 0, \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{74}$$

Define $j_1 = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} j_l \;=\; \min \Bigg\{ j > j_{l-1} : \sup_{m \ge j} \Bigg\{ & \frac{\sqrt{D_l^*}}{\sqrt{\beta_l^*}} \left(\frac{1}{(\beta_l^*\, m h_m)^{1/5}} + \frac{\varepsilon_{l,m}^*}{\beta_l^*} \right) + R_m(G_l, G_l) \\ & + \frac{1}{\beta_l^* \sqrt{m h_m^2}} + \left(\frac{D_l^*}{\beta_l^*} \right)^3 P_m < 2^{-l} \Bigg\} \Bigg\}, \quad \text{for } l = 2, 3, \ldots, \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

and

$$E_n = G_l = \left\{ x \in F_l : \beta_l^* \le f(x) \le D_l^* \right\}, \quad \text{for } j_l \le n < j_{l+1}. \tag{76}$$

Using (69)–(76), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D_n^{1/2}}{\beta_n^{1/2}} \left(\frac{1}{(\beta_n\, n h_n)^{1/5}} + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\beta_n} \right) \;&+\; R_n(E_n, E_n) \\ &+\; \frac{1}{\beta_n \sqrt{n h_n^2}} + \frac{D_n^3\, P_n}{\beta_n^3} \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

with

$$\varepsilon_n \le \sup_{m \ge j_l} \varepsilon_{l,m}^*, \quad \beta_n \ge \beta_l^*, \quad D_n \le D_l^*, \quad \text{for } j_l \le n < j_{l+1}.$$

It remains to note that, by (21), (30) and (36),

$$\beta_n\, \lambda(B) \le \mathbf{P}(B) \le D_n\, \lambda(B), \quad \text{for any Borel set } B \subset E_n, \tag{78}$$

$\mathbb{N}_n \le D_n^{1/2}$ and

$$P_n \ge c_f\, h_n, \tag{79}$$

for sufficiently large $n \geq n_0$, where $c_f > 0$ depends on density f only. Therefore, (30) and (77) imply (35).

The choice of the sets $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n, \ldots$ depends on the choice of the sequences $\{\beta_n^*\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{D_n^*\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in the proof of Lemma 1.

In the sequel we shall assume that $h_n \to 0$ and $nh_n^2 \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$ and $n \geq n_0$, where n_0 is a positive integer which will be chosen as large as it is necessary for the arguments below to hold. Let $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n, \ldots$ be *any* sequence of Borel sets satisfying (29)–(35). By (30) and (35), $\frac{\varepsilon_n}{\beta_n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Let $n \geq n_0$ be so large that

$$\varepsilon_n \leq \beta_n \min\{I(H) : H \in \mathcal{H}_0\}/2. \tag{80}$$

Then, by (30), (31) and (80), for any $x \in E_n$, $H \in \mathcal{H}_0$, we have

$$f(x)\, I(H)/2 \leq f * H_{h_n}(x) \leq 2\, f(x)\, I(H). \tag{81}$$

We shall use the following fact that follows from Theorem 1 of Sweeting [18].

Lemma 4. *Let $(\omega, \zeta), (\omega_1, \zeta_1), (\omega_2, \zeta_2), \ldots,$ be a sequence of i.i.d. bivariate random vectors such that each component has variance 1, mean 0 and finite moments of the third order. Further, let (Z_1^*, Z_2^*) be bivariate normal vector with mean 0, $\mathrm{Var}(Z_1^*) = \mathrm{Var}(Z_2^*) = 1$, and with $\mathrm{cov}(Z_1^*, Z_2^*) = \mathrm{cov}(\omega, \zeta) = \rho$. Then there exists a universal positive constant A such that*

$$\left| \mathbf{E} \left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i}{\sqrt{n}} \right| - \mathbf{E}\,|Z_1^*| \right| \leq \frac{A}{\sqrt{n}}\, \mathbf{E}\,|\zeta|^3 \tag{82}$$

and, whenever $\rho^2 < 1$,

$$\left| \mathbf{E} \left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i}{\sqrt{n}} \right| - \mathbf{E}\,|Z_1^* Z_2^*| \right| \leq \frac{A}{(1-\rho^2)^{3/2}\sqrt{n}} \left(\mathbf{E}\,|\omega|^3 + \mathbf{E}\,|\zeta|^3 \right) \tag{83}$$

and

$$\left| \mathbf{E} \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i}{\sqrt{n}} \right| \right] \right| \leq \frac{A}{(1-\rho^2)^{3/2}\sqrt{n}} \left(\mathbf{E}\,|\omega|^3 + \mathbf{E}\,|\zeta|^3 \right). \tag{84}$$

Lemma 5. *For sufficiently large $n \geq n_0$ and for arbitrary (possibly depending on n) interval (a, b), $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sigma_n^2(B) - \mathbf{P}(B)\, \sigma^2 \right| \\ \leq\ & A\, \mathbf{P}(B)\, ||K^2||\, D_n^{1/2}\, \beta_n^{-1/2} \left(\frac{\|K^3\|^{2/5}}{\|K^2\|^{3/5}\, (\beta_n\, n h_n)^{1/5}} + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\|K^2\|\ \beta_n} \right) \\ & + ||K^2||\, R_n(B, E_n) + 16\, \kappa^2 \left(1 + \beta_n^{-1}\, \varepsilon_n \right) \min\{P_n, D_n\, h_n\}, \end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

where $B = B\,(n) = (a,b) \cap E_n$. *Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left|\, \sigma_n^2(E_n) - \mathbf{P}(E_n)\, \sigma^2 \,\right| \\ \leq \;& A\, h_n^{-1}\, ||K^2||\, \left(\mathbb{L}_n + \frac{\varepsilon_n\, \mathbb{M}_n}{||K^2||} \right) + ||K^2||\, R_n(E_n, E_n), \end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

where $\mathbb{L}_n$ *and* $\mathbb{M}_n$ *are defined in* (42)–(44).

Proof. Notice that whenever $|x-y| > h_n$, random variables $|f_\eta(x) - \mathbf{E}\, f_n(x)|$ and $|f_\eta(y) - \mathbf{E}\, f_n(y)|$ are independent. This follows from the fact that they are functions of independent increments of the Poisson process with intensity nf. Therefore (see (15), (18) and (19))

$$\begin{aligned} v_n(B, E_n) &= n \int_B \int_{E_n} \mathbf{E}\, \{ |f_\eta(x) - \mathbf{E}\, f_n(x)|\, |f_\eta(y) - \mathbf{E}\, f_n(y)| \}\, dx\, dy \\ &\quad - n \int_B \int_{E_n} \{\, \mathbf{E}\, |f_\eta(x) - \mathbf{E}\, f_n(x)|\, \mathbf{E}\, |f_\eta(y) - \mathbf{E}\, f_n(y)| \}\, dx\, dy \\ &= \int_B \int_{E_n} \mathbf{1}\{|x-y| \leq h_n\}\, \mathrm{cov}\, (|T_\eta(x)|, |T_\eta(y)|)\, \sqrt{k_n(x)\, k_n(y)}\, dx\, dy. \end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

According to (6) and (21)–(24), we have, for $x \in E_n$,

$$\int_{-1}^{1} g(x,t,E_n)\, dt = f(x) \int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{cov}\, \left(\left| \sqrt{1-\rho^2(t)} Z_1 + \rho(t)\, Z_2 \right|, |Z_2| \right)\, dt = \frac{f(x)\, \sigma^2}{||K^2||}, \tag{88}$$

$$\int_B \left(\int_{-1}^{1} g(x,t,E_n)\, dt \right)\, dx = \frac{\mathbf{P}(B)\, \sigma^2}{||K^2||} \tag{89}$$

and

$$\left| \varphi_n^2(B) - \mathbf{P}(B)\, \sigma^2 \right| \leq ||K^2||\, R_n(B, E_n), \tag{90}$$

where

$$\varphi_n^2(B) = ||K^2||\, \int_B \int_{-1}^{1} g_n(x,t,E_n)\, dx\, dt. \tag{91}$$

Furthermore, $\mathrm{Var}(Y_n(x)) = 1$ (see (12), (13) and (15)–(17)) and

$$\mathbf{E}\, |Y_n(x)|^3 \leq A \frac{h_n^{-3/2}\, \mathbf{E}\, \left| K\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n} \right) \right|^3}{\left(h_n^{-1}\, \mathbf{E}\, K^2 \left(\frac{x-X}{h_n} \right) \right)^{3/2}}. \tag{92}$$

Using (30), (32)–(34), (81) and (92), we get that, for $n \geq n_0$,

$$\mathbf{E}\, |Y_n(x)|^3 \leq A \frac{2\, \|K^3\|\, h_n^{-1/2}}{\sqrt{f(x)}\, (\|K^2\|\, /2)^{3/2}} \leq \frac{A\, \|K^3\|}{\sqrt{\beta_n h_n}\, \|K^2\|^{3/2}}. \tag{93}$$

By (13), (31), (32) and (34),

$$\sup_{x\in E_n} \left| h_n\, k_n(x) - \left\|K^2\right\|\, f(x)\right| \le \varepsilon_n. \tag{94}$$

Assume that $n \ge n_0$ is so large that $\frac{\varepsilon_n}{\|K^2\|\,\beta_n} \le 1/6$, see (35). Thus, for $x \in E_n$, we have

$$h_n\, k_n(x) = \left\|K^2\right\|\, f(x)\, \exp\left(\frac{A\,\theta\,\varepsilon_n}{\|K^2\|\, f(x)}\right), \tag{95}$$

where $|\theta| \le 1$. Using (95), we see that, for $x, y \in E_n$,

$$\sqrt{k_n(x)\, k_n(y)} = h_n^{-1}\left\|K^2\right\|\, \sqrt{f(x)\, f(y)}\, \exp\left(\frac{A\,\theta\varepsilon_n}{\|K^2\|}\left(f^{-1}(x) + f^{-1}(y)\right)\right). \tag{96}$$

We shall use the elementary fact that if X and Y are mean zero and variance 1 random variables with $\rho = \mathbf{E}\, XY$, then $1 - \mathbf{E}\,|XY| \le 1 - |\rho| \le 1 - \rho^2$. By an application of Lemma 4, keeping (17), (25), (26), (35), (43), (52) and (93) in mind, we obtain, for $n \ge n_0$ large enough and $x, y \in E_n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\operatorname{cov}(|T_\eta(x)|, |T_\eta(y)|) - \mathbb{C}_n(x,y)| \qquad (97)\\
\le\ & A\, \min\left\{1 - \rho_{n,x,y}^2 + \frac{\mathbf{E}\,|Y_n(x)|^3 + \mathbf{E}\,|Y_n(y)|^3}{\sqrt{n}}\,,\, \frac{\mathbf{E}\,|Y_n(x)|^3 + \mathbf{E}\,|Y_n(y)|^3}{\left(1-\rho_{n,x,y}^2\right)^{3/2}\sqrt{n}}\right\}\\
\le\ & A\, (\mathbb{K}_n(x,y) + \mathbb{K}_n(y,x))\\
\le\ & \frac{A\, \|K^3\|^{2/5}\, \left(f^{-1/5}(x) + f^{-1/5}(y)\right)}{\|K^2\|^{3/5}\, (nh_n)^{1/5}} \le \frac{A\, \|K^3\|^{2/5}}{\|K^2\|^{3/5}\, (\beta_n\, nh_n)^{1/5}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (24), (25), (27), (30), (35), (87), (91), (96), (97) and the change of variables $y = x + th_n$, we see that, for sufficiently large $n \ge n_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left|v_n(B, E_n) - \varphi_n^2(B)\right|\\
\le\ & A \int_B \int_{E_n} \mathbf{1}\{|x-y| \le h_n\}\, h_n^{-1}\left\|K^2\right\|\, \sqrt{f(x)\, f(y)}\\
& \times \left(\mathbb{K}_n(x,y) + \mathbb{K}_n(y,x) + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\|K^2\|}\, \left(f^{-1}(x) + f^{-1}(y)\right)\right) dx\, dy \qquad (98)\\
\le\ & A\, \mathbf{P}(B)\, ||K^2||\, D_n^{1/2}\, \beta_n^{-1/2}\, \left(\frac{\|K^3\|^{2/5}}{\|K^2\|^{3/5}\, (\beta_n n h_n)^{1/5}} + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\|K^2\|\, \beta_n}\right). \qquad (99)
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= (a - h_n, a) \cap E_n, & B_2 &= (b, b + h_n) \cap E_n,\\
B_3 &= (a, a + h_n) \cap B, & B_4 &= (b - h_n, b) \cap B.
\end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

Clearly,

$$B = B_3 \cup B_4 \cup (B \setminus (B_3 \cup B_4)) \tag{101}$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}\, J_n(B)\, J_n(E_n \setminus (B \cup B_1 \cup B_2)) = 0, \tag{102}$$

since $J_n(B)$ and $J_n(E_n \setminus (B \cup B_1 \cup B_2))$ are independent. Similarly, according to (100) and (101), $\mathbf{E}\, J_n(B)\, J_n(B_1 \cup B_2) = \mathbf{E}\, J_n(B_1)\, J_n(B_3) + \mathbf{E}\, J_n(B_2)\, J_n(B_4)$. Note that, by (31)–(34), (57) and (78), we have

$$L(n, B) \le \lambda(B)\, \varepsilon_n \le \beta_n^{-1}\, \mathbf{P}(B)\, \varepsilon_n, \quad \text{for any Borel set } B \subset E_n. \tag{103}$$

By (18)–(21), (37), (54)–(57), (78), (100), (102) and (103),

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\sigma_n^2(B) - v_n(B, E_n)\right| &= |\mathbf{E}\, J_n(B)\, J_n(B_1 \cup B_2)| \\ &\le |\mathbf{E}\, J_n(B_1)\, J_n(B_3)| + |\mathbf{E}\, J_n(B_2)\, J_n(B_4)| \\ &\le 4 \max_{1 \le i \le 4} d(n, B_i) \\ &\le 16\, \kappa^2 \max_{1 \le i \le 4} \left(\mathbf{P}(B_i) + L(n, B_i)\right) \\ &\le 16\, \kappa^2 \left(1 + \beta_n^{-1}\, \varepsilon_n\right) \max_{1 \le i \le 4} \mathbf{P}(B_i) \\ &\le 16\, \kappa^2 \left(1 + \beta_n^{-1}\, \varepsilon_n\right) \min\left\{P_n, D_n\, h_n\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

for sufficiently large $n \ge n_0$. Inequalities (78), (90), (99) and (104) imply (85). Clearly, $\sigma_n^2(E_n) = v_n(E_n, E_n)$, see (20). The proof of (86) repeats that of (85). Instead of (99) one should use (98) coupled with (44).

Lemma 6. *For sufficiently large $n \ge n_0$, we have*

$$\int_{E_n} \left| \sqrt{n}\, \mathbf{E}\, |f_\eta(x) - \mathbf{E}\, f_n(x)| - \mathbf{E}\, |Z| \sqrt{k_n(x)} \right| dx \le \frac{A\, \lambda(E_n)\, \|K^3\|}{\|K^2\|\, \sqrt{n h_n^2}} \tag{105}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{E_n} \left| \sqrt{n}\, \mathbf{E}\, |f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}\, f_n(x)| - \mathbf{E}\, |Z| \sqrt{k_n(x)} \right| dx \\ &\qquad \le \frac{A\, \lambda(E_n)\, \|K^3\|}{\|K^2\|\, \sqrt{n h_n^2}} + \frac{A\, \mathbb{N}_n \sqrt{h_n}}{\sqrt{\|K^2\|}}, \end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

where $\mathbb{N}_n$ is defined by (36).

Proof. By (15), (17), (82) and (93), for $x \in E_n$,

$$\left| \frac{\mathbf{E}\, |\sqrt{n}\, \{f_\eta(x) - \mathbf{E}\, f_n(x)\}|}{\sqrt{k_n(x)}} - \mathbf{E}\, |Z| \right| \le \frac{A}{\sqrt{n}}\, \mathbf{E}\, |Y_n(x)|^3 \le \frac{A\, \|K^3\|}{\sqrt{n\, f(x)\, h_n}\, \|K^2\|^{3/2}}\,. \tag{107}$$

Using (4), (13), (14), (30), (34) and (81), we get, for $n \geq n_0$, $x \in E_n$,

$$f(x) \left\|K^2\right\| h_n^{-1}/2 \leq k_n(x) \leq 2\, f(x) \left\|K^2\right\| h_n^{-1} \leq 2\, D_n \left\|K^2\right\| h_n^{-1} \tag{108}$$

and

$$\left|\sqrt{k_n(x)} - \sqrt{n \operatorname{Var}\left(f_n(x)\right)}\right| \leq \frac{\left(2\, f(x)\right)^2 \sqrt{h_n}}{\sqrt{f(x)\, \|K^2\|/2}} \leq \frac{A\, f^{3/2}(x)\sqrt{h_n}}{\sqrt{\|K^2\|}}. \tag{109}$$

Now by (30), (35), (107) and (108), we obtain (105), for sufficiently large $n \geq n_0$. Similarly one obtains

$$\int_{E_n} \left| \sqrt{n}\, \mathbf{E}\, |f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}\, f_n(x)| - \mathbf{E}\, |Z| \sqrt{n \operatorname{Var}\left(f_n(x)\right)} \right| dx \leq \frac{A\, \lambda(E_n)\, \|K^3\|}{\|K^2\| \sqrt{n h_n^2}},$$

which by (36) and (109) implies (106).

3 Reduction of the problem to a CLT for 1-dependent random vectors

Let

$$\alpha_n \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty, \tag{110}$$

be a non-increasing sequence of strictly positive numbers. In Section 3, we assume (110) only, keeping in mind that α_n will be defined later by (46). Using the continuity of our measure, we may find an interval $[-M_n, M_n]$ so that

$$\alpha_n = \int_{|x|>M_n} f(x)\, dx. \tag{111}$$

Assume that $n \geq n_0$ is so large that

$$0 < \alpha_n \leq 1/4 \quad \text{and} \quad h_n \leq \min\left\{M_n/4, 1 - \alpha_n\right\}. \tag{112}$$

Define $m_n = [M_n/h_n] - 1$, $h_n^* = (M_n - h_n)/m_n$, where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of x. Clearly, by (112), we have $M_n/2h_n \leq m_n \leq M_n/h_n$. Hence,

$$h_n \leq h_n^* \leq 2h_n. \tag{113}$$

Recall that P_n and ψ_n were defined in (37) and (48). Note that (35), (48), (110) and (111) imply that $\mathbf{P}([-M_n + h_n, M_n - h_n]) > \psi_n$, for sufficiently large $n \geq n_0$. Define, recurrently, integers $l_1 = -m_n$, $l_i \in \mathbf{Z}$, $l_1 < l_2 < \cdots < l_{s_n - 1} = m_n$. Let l_{i-1} be constructed. Then if, for some $l \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have $\mathbf{P}([l_{i-1}h_n^*, (l-1)\, h_n^*]) < \psi_n$, $\mathbf{P}([l_{i-1}h_n^*, lh_n^*]) \geq \psi_n$ and $\mathbf{P}([lh_n^*, M_n - h_n]) \geq \psi_n$,

we set $l_i = l$. If, for some $l \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have $\mathbf{P}([l_{i-1}h_n^*, (l-1)\ h_n^*]) < \psi_n$, $\mathbf{P}([l_{i-1}h_n^*, lh_n^*]) \geq \psi_n$ and $\mathbf{P}([lh_n^*, M_n - h_n]) < \psi_n$, we set $s_n - 1 = i$ and $l_{s_n-1} = m_n$. Denote

$$z_{0,n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -M_n; \qquad z_{s_n,n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_n; \qquad z_{i,n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} l_i h_n^*, \qquad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, s_n - 1; \tag{114}$$

$$I_{i,n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_n \cap [z_{i-1,n}, z_{i,n}), \quad p_{i,n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{P}(I_{i,n}), \quad q_{i,n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{P}([z_{i-1,n}, z_{i,n})), \tag{115}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, s_n$. Clearly, we have

$$z_{0,n} < z_{1,n} = -M_n + h_n < z_{1,n} < \cdots < z_{s_n-1,n} = M_n - h_n < z_{s_n,n}. \tag{116}$$

Furthermore,

$$P_n = \max_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \mathbf{P}([x, x + 2\,h_n]) \geq \max_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \mathbf{P}([x, x + h_n^*]) \tag{117}$$

(see (113)). By (115),

$$p_{i,n} \leq q_{i,n}, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, s_n. \tag{118}$$

Clearly, by construction, we have

$$\psi_n \leq q_{i,n} \leq P_n + 2\,\psi_n, \qquad i = 2, \ldots, s_n - 1, \tag{119}$$

and

$$\max\{q_{1,n}, q_{s_n,n}\} \leq P_n, \tag{120}$$

for sufficiently large $n \geq n_0$. Hence, by (35), (48), (74) and (118)–(120),

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq s_n} p_{i,n} \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq s_n} q_{i,n} \to 0, \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{121}$$

Introduce sets of indices

$$\Upsilon_1 = \left\{ i = 2, \ldots, s_n - 1 : 4\,||K^2||\,R_n(I_{i,n}, E_n) \geq p_{i,n}\,\sigma^2 \right\}, \tag{122}$$

$$\Upsilon_2 = \left\{ i = 2, \ldots, s_n - 1 : p_{i,n} \leq \mathbf{P}([z_{i-1,n}, z_{i,n}) \backslash I_{i,n}) \right\}, \tag{123}$$

$$\Upsilon = \Upsilon_1 \cup \Upsilon_2, \quad \Upsilon_3 = \{2, \ldots, s_n - 1\} \setminus \Upsilon. \tag{124}$$

Define

$$C_n = [-M_n + h_n, M_n - h_n] \cap E_n \backslash \bigcup_{i \in \Upsilon} [z_{i-1,n}, z_{i,n}). \tag{125}$$

By construction,

$$C_n = \bigcup_{i \in \Upsilon_3} I_{i,n}, \quad \text{and} \quad I_{i,n} \cap I_{j,n} \text{ are empty}, \quad \text{for } i \neq j. \tag{126}$$

Using (22), (35), (115), (116) and (122), we obtain

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\bigcup_{i \in \Upsilon_1} I_{i,n} \right) = \sum_{i \in \Upsilon_1} p_{i,n} \leq \frac{4\,||K^2||\,R_n(E_n, E_n)}{\sigma^2} \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{127}$$

Furthermore, by (29), (115), (116) and (123), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}\left(\bigcup_{i\in\Upsilon_2} I_{i,n}\right) &= \sum_{i\in\Upsilon_2} p_{i,n} \le \sum_{i\in\Upsilon_2} \mathbf{P}([z_{i-1,n}, z_{i,n})\backslash I_{i,n}) \\ &= \sum_{i\in\Upsilon_2} \mathbf{P}([z_{i-1,n}, z_{i,n})\backslash E_n) \le \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{R}\backslash E_n) = \phi_n \to 0, \quad \text{as } n\to\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{128}$$

By (56), (57), (74), (110), (111), (117) and (122)–(128), we have

$$\Omega(n, \overline{C}_n) \le \alpha_n + 2\,P_n + 2\,\phi_n + \frac{4\,||K^2||\,R_n(E_n, E_n)}{\sigma^2} + L(n, \mathbf{R}) \to 0, \quad \text{as } n\to\infty, \tag{129}$$

where $\overline{C}_n$ denotes the complement of C_n. By Lemma 2,

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\sqrt{n}\int_{\overline{C}_n} \{|f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}\,f_n(x)| - \mathbf{E}\,|f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}\,f_n(x)|\}\,dx\right)^2 \le d_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d(n, \overline{C}_n), \tag{130}$$

and

$$d_n \le 4\,\kappa^2\,\Omega(n, \overline{C}_n) \le 4\,\kappa^2\,\Omega_n, \tag{131}$$

where Ω_n is defined in (45). Similarly, using (54) instead of (53), we obtain (see (18) and (20))

$$\sigma_n^2(E_n\backslash C_n) \le 8\,\kappa^2\left(\alpha_n + 2\,P_n + \phi_n + \frac{4\,||K^2||\,R_n(E_n, E_n)}{\sigma^2} + L(n, \mathbf{R})\right) \to 0, \tag{132}$$

as $n\to\infty$. It is easy to see that, by (30), (42), (44) and (52),

$$\mathbb{L}_n \le \frac{A\,\|K^3\|^{2/5}\,D_n^{3/10}\,h_n}{\|K^2\|^{3/5}\,(nh_n)^{1/5}\;\beta_n^{1/2}}, \quad \mathbb{M}_n \le 2\,\beta_n^{-1}\,h_n. \tag{133}$$

Clearly, $J_n(E_n) = J_n(C_n) + J_n(E_n\backslash C_n)$. Therefore, applying (20), (29), (30), (35), (86), (125), (132), (133) and the triangle inequality, we get $\sigma_n^2(C_n) = \sigma^2 + o(1)$ and

$$\frac{1}{2}\,\sigma^2 \le \sigma_n^2(C_n) \le 2\,\sigma^2, \tag{134}$$

for sufficiently large $n \ge n_0$.

Denote, for $i = 1, \ldots, s_n$,

$$\delta_{i,n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\int_{z_{i-1,n}}^{z_{i,n}} \mathbf{1}_{C_n}(x)\,W_\eta(x)\,dx}{\sigma_n(C_n)}, \tag{135}$$

where

$$W_\eta(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Delta_\eta(x) - \mathbf{E}\,\Delta_\eta(x) = (|T_\eta(x)| - \mathbf{E}\;|T_\eta(x)|)\,\sqrt{k_n(x)}, \tag{136}$$

and

$$\Delta_\eta(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{n}\,|f_\eta(x) - \mathbf{E}\,f_n(x)| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}\,h_n}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} K\left(\frac{x-X_i}{h_n}\right) - n\,\mathbf{E}\,K\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\right|. \tag{137}$$

Obviously (see (114)–(116), (124) and (125)),

$$\delta_{i,n} = 0, \quad \text{for } i \notin \Upsilon_3 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \delta_{i,n} = \frac{\int_{I_{i,n}} W_\eta(x)\,dx}{\sigma_n(C_n)}, \quad \text{for } i \in \Upsilon_3. \tag{138}$$

Furthermore, $z_{i,n} - z_{i-1,n} \geq h_n$, for $i = 1, \ldots, s_n$. This implies that the sequence $\delta_{i,n}$, $1 \leq i \leq s_n$, is 1-dependent. We used (2), (137), (138) and that any functions of the Poisson point process $\{X_1, \ldots, X_\eta\}$ restricted to disjoint sets are independent.

The use of the sets C_n has the advantage over the sets E_n in that they permit us to control the variances of the summands $\delta_{i,n}$ from below.

Lemma 7. *For sufficiently large $n > n_0$, we have*

$$p_{i,n}\,\sigma^2/4 \leq \sigma_n^2(I_{i,n}) \leq 2\,p_{i,n}\,\sigma^2, \qquad \textit{for } i \in \Upsilon_3.$$

Proof. According to (48), (115), (119), (123) and (124), we have, for $i \in \Upsilon_3$,

$$p_{i,n} \geq q_{i,n}/2 \geq \psi_n/2 = 128\,\kappa^2\,\sigma^{-2}\,\min\{P_n, D_n\,h_n\}. \tag{139}$$

Hence, by (30), (35), (85), (115), (122), (124) and (139), $\beta_n^{-1}\,\varepsilon_n \leq 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_n^2(I_{i,n}) &\geq p_{i,n}\,\sigma^2 - \left|\sigma_n^2(I_{i,n}) - p_{i,n}\,\sigma^2\right| \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2}\,p_{i,n}\,\sigma^2 - \frac{A\,||K^2||\,D_n^{1/2}\,p_{i,n}}{\beta_n^{1/2}}\left(\frac{\|K^3\|^{2/5}}{\|K^2\|^{3/5}\,(\beta_n\,nh_n)^{1/5}} + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\|K^2\|\;\beta_n}\right) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{4}\,p_{i,n}\,\sigma^2,
\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large $n > n_0$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_n^2(I_{i,n}) &\leq p_{i,n}\,\sigma^2 + \left|\sigma_n^2(I_{i,n}) - p_{i,n}\,\sigma^2\right| \\
&\leq \frac{3}{2}\,p_{i,n}\,\sigma^2 + \frac{A\,||K^2||\,D_n^{1/2}\,p_{i,n}}{\beta_n^{1/2}}\left(\frac{\|K^3\|^{2/5}}{\|K^2\|^{3/5}\,(\beta_n\,nh_n)^{1/5}} + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\|K^2\|\;\beta_n}\right) \\
&\leq 2\,p_{i,n}\,\sigma^2,
\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large $n > n_0$.

The following fact will be useful below: if ξ_i are independent centered random variables, then, for every $r \geq 2$,

$$\mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \right|^r \leq 2^{r+1} e^{2r} \max \left[r^{r/2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\, \xi_i^2 \right)^{r/2}, r^r \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\, |\xi_i|^r \right] \tag{140}$$

(Pinelis [16], with a unspecified constant A^r; after symmetrization, in the form (140), it follows from Latała [12]).

The following Lemma 8 gives a Rosenthal-type inequality for Poissonized sums of independent random variables.

Lemma 8 (Giné, Mason and Zaitsev [10], Lemma 2.2)**.** *Assume that it is known that for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$, any i.i.d. centered random variables $\xi, \xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots$ for some $r \geq 2$,*

$$\mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i \right|^r \leq F\left(n\, \mathbf{E}\, \xi^2,\, n\, \mathbf{E}\, |\xi|^r \right), \tag{141}$$

where $F(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)$ is a non-decreasing continuous function of two arguments. Then, for any $\mu > 0$ and any i.i.d. random variables $\zeta, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots,$

$$\mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} \zeta_i - \mu\, \mathbf{E}\, \zeta \right|^r \leq F\left(\mu\, \mathbf{E}\, \zeta^2,\, \mu\, \mathbf{E}\, |\zeta|^r \right), \tag{142}$$

where η is a Poisson random variable with mean μ, independent of $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots$.

Lemma 9. *We have, uniformly in $i \in \Upsilon_3$, for sufficiently large $n \geq n_0$ and for all integers $r \geq 2$,*

$$\mathbf{E}\, |\delta_{i,n}|^r \leq A^r\, r^r\, p_{i,n}^{r/2-1} \left(\left\| K^2 \right\|\, D_n\, \beta_n^{-1}\, \kappa^2\, \sigma^{-4} \right)^{r/2} \mathrm{Var}(\delta_{i,n}). \tag{143}$$

Proof. By the Hölder and generalized Minkowski inequalities (see, e.g., Folland [8], p. 194), (136) and (138),

$$\sigma_n^r(C_n)\, \mathbf{E}\, |\delta_{i,n}|^r \leq 2^r \mathbf{E} \left(\int_{I_{i,n}} \Delta_\eta(x)\, dx \right)^r \leq \left(2 \int_{I_{i,n}} \left(\mathbf{E}\, \Delta_\eta^r(x) \right)^{1/r} dx \right)^r. \tag{144}$$

Write (see (137))

$$\mathbf{E}\, \Delta_\eta^r(x) = \frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{n}\, h_n \right)^r} \mathbf{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h_n} \right) - n\, \mathbf{E}\, K\left(\frac{x - X}{h_n} \right) \right|^r. \tag{145}$$

Applying Lemma 8 coupled with inequality (140), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{E}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} K\left(\frac{x-X_i}{h_n}\right) - n\,\mathbf{E}\,K\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\right|^r \\ \le\ & 2^{r+1}e^{2r}\max\left\{r^{r/2}\left(n\,\mathbf{E}\,K^2\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\right)^{r/2},\, r^r\,n\,\mathbf{E}\left|K\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\right|^r\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{146}$$

Therefore, using (3), (32)–(34), (81), (125), (145) and (146), we see that, for $n \ge n_0$, $x \in C_n$, the moment $\mathbf{E}\,\Delta_\eta^r(x)$ may be estimated from above by

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2^{r+1}\,e^{2r}}{\left(\sqrt{n}\,h_n\right)^r}\,\max\left\{r^{r/2}\left(n\,\mathbf{E}\,K^2\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\right)^{r/2},\, r^r\,n\,\mathbf{E}\left|K\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\right|^r\right\} \\ \le\ & 2^{r+1}e^{2r}\,\max\left\{r^{r/2}\left(2\,f(x)\,\left\|K^2\right\|\,h_n^{-1}\right)^{r/2}, 2\,r^r n^{1-r/2}\kappa^{r-2}f(x)\left\|K^2\right\|\,h_n^{1-r}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta_n n h_n \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$ (see (30) and (35)), we estimate for sufficiently large $n \ge n_0$, $x \in C_n$,

$$\mathbf{E}\,\Delta_\eta^r(x) \le 2^{r+1}\,e^{2r}\,r^r\left(2\,f(x)\,\left\|K^2\right\|\,h_n^{-1}\right)^{r/2}.$$

Substituting this into (144), and using Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\mathbf{E}\,|\delta_{i,n}|^r \le A^r\,r^r\sigma_n^{-r}(C_n)\,\lambda^{r/2}(I_{i,n})\,\left(p_{i,n}\,\left\|K^2\right\|\,h_n^{-1}\right)^{r/2}, \tag{147}$$

where $p_{i,n}$ is defined in (115). By Lemma 7,

$$\sigma_n^2(I_{i,n}) \ge p_{i,n}\,\sigma^2/4, \tag{148}$$

for sufficiently large $n \ge n_0$. It is easy to see that

$$\mathrm{Var}(\delta_{i,n}) = \frac{\sigma_n^2(I_{i,n})}{\sigma_n^2(C_n)}. \tag{149}$$

Each $I_{i,n}$, $i = 2, \ldots, s_n - 1$, can be represented as $I_{i,n} = (J_{i,n} \cup L_{i,n}) \cap E_n$, where $J_{i,n}$ is an interval of length h_n^* and $L_{i,n}$ is a set with $\mathbf{P}(L_{i,n}) \le 2\,\psi_n$ with ψ_n defined in (48). Therefore, by (10), (30), (48), (78), (113) and (115),

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(I_{i,n}) &\le \lambda(J_{i,n} \cap E_n) + \lambda(L_{i,n} \cap E_n) \le 2\,h_n + \beta_n^{-1}\,\mathbf{P}(L_{i,n}) \\ &\le \left(2 + 512\,D_n\,\beta_n^{-1}\,\kappa^2\,\sigma^{-2}\right)\,h_n \le A\,D_n\,\beta_n^{-1}\,\kappa^2\,\sigma^{-2}h_n. \end{aligned} \tag{150}$$

Substituting (148) into (147) and using (134), (149) and (150), we obtain inequality (143).

Define

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^{s_n}\delta_{i,n} = \sum_{i\in\Upsilon_3}\delta_{i,n} = \frac{\int_{C_n} W_\eta(x)\,dx}{\sigma_n(C_n)} \tag{151}$$

(see (124), (125) and (138)),

$$U_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left\{ \sum_{j \le \eta} \mathbf{1}\{X_j \in [-M_n, M_n]\} - n\, \mathbf{P}\{X \in [-M_n, M_n]\} \right\} \tag{152}$$

and

$$V_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left\{ \sum_{j \le \eta} \mathbf{1}\{X_j \notin [-M_n, M_n]\} - n\, \mathbf{P}\{X \notin [-M_n, M_n]\} \right\}. \tag{153}$$

Set

$$u_{i,n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left\{ \sum_{j \le \eta} \mathbf{1}\left\{X_j \in [z_{i-1,n}, z_{i,n})\right\} - n\, q_{i,n} \right\}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, s_n. \tag{154}$$

It is easy to see that $\sqrt{n}\, u_{i,n}$ is a centered Poisson random variable with

$$\mathrm{Var}(\sqrt{n}\, u_{i,n}) = n\, q_{i,n}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, s_n. \tag{155}$$

Recall that we have $C_n \subset [-M_n + h_n, M_n - h_n]$, see (125). Clearly, (S_n, U_n) is a function of the Poisson point process $\{X_1, \dots, X_\eta\}$ restricted to the set $[-M_n, M_n]$ and V_n is a function of the same process restricted to the set $\mathbf{R} \setminus [-M_n, M_n]$. Therefore, (S_n, U_n) is independent of V_n. Obviously,

$$U_n = \sum_{i=1}^{s_n} u_{i,n}$$

and summands $u_{i,n}$, $i = 1, \dots, s_n$, are independent. Hence,

$$\mathrm{Var}\,(U_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{s_n} \mathrm{Var}\,(u_{i,n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{s_n} q_{i,n} = \mathbf{P}\{X \in [-M_n, M_n]\}, \tag{156}$$

see (114)–(116). Observe that

$$\mathrm{Var}(S_n) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Var}(U_n) = 1 - \alpha_n, \tag{157}$$

where $\alpha_n = \mathbf{P}\{X \notin [-M_n, M_n]\}$, see (111).

Lemma 10. *For sufficiently large $n \ge n_0$, we have ...*

$$|\operatorname{cov}(S_n, U_n)| \le \frac{A\ \|K^3\|\ \lambda(E_n)}{\sigma\ \|K^2\|\ \sqrt{n h_n^2}}. \tag{158}$$

Moreover,

$$\max_{i \in \Upsilon_3} \frac{|\operatorname{cov}(\delta_{i,n}, u_{i,n})|}{(\mathrm{Var}(u_{i,n})\mathrm{Var}(\delta_{i,n}))^{1/2}} \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{159}$$

Proof. According to (115), (136), (138) and (154), we have, for $i \in \Upsilon_3$,

$$\sigma_n(C_n)\,\mathrm{cov}(\delta_{i,n}, u_{i,n}) = q_{i,n}^{1/2} \int_{I_{i,n}} \left(\mathbf{E}\,|T_\eta(x)|\; u_{i,n}\, q_{i,n}^{-1/2} \right) \sqrt{k_n(x)}\, dx. \tag{160}$$

Note that (119) and (121) imply that

$$\psi_n \le \min_{2 \le i \le s_n - 1} q_{i,n} \to 0, \quad \text{as } n \to \infty. \tag{161}$$

Below we assume that $n \ge n_0$ is sufficiently large. By (78), (115), (148) and (149),

$$\lambda(I_{i,n}) \le \beta_n^{-1}\, p_{i,n} \le 4\, \beta_n^{-1}\, \sigma^{-2} \sigma_n^2(I_{i,n}) = 4\, \beta_n^{-1}\, \sigma^{-2} \sigma_n^2(C_n)\, \mathrm{Var}(\delta_{i,n}). \tag{162}$$

Note now that

$$\left(T_\eta(x), u_{i,n}\, q_{i,n}^{-1/2} \right) =_d n^{-1/2} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left(Y_n^{(l)}(x), U^{(l)} \right), \tag{163}$$

where $\left(Y_n^{(l)}(x), U^{(l)} \right)$, $l = 1, \ldots, n$, are i.i.d. $(Y_n(x), U)$, with $Y_n(x)$ defined in (16) and

$$U = q_{i,n}^{-1/2} \left\{ \sum_{j \le \eta_1} \mathbf{1}\left\{ X_j \in [z_{i-1,n}, z_{i,n}) \right\} - q_{i,n} \right\}, \tag{164}$$

η_1 denoting a Poisson random variable with mean 1 from (16), which is independent of $X, X_1, X_2, \ldots$. Using (2), (10), (16), (32)–(34), (48), (81), (119) and (164), we see that, for any $x \in C_n$,

$$|\mathrm{cov}(Y_n(x), U)| = \frac{\left| \mathbf{E}\left[K\left(\frac{x - X}{h_n} \right) \mathbf{1}\left\{ X \in [z_{i-1,n}, z_{i,n}) \right\} \right] \right|}{q_{i,n}^{1/2} \left(\mathbf{E}\, K^2\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n} \right) \right)^{1/2}} \le \frac{2\sqrt{2\, D_n}\, \kappa\, h_n^{1/2}}{q_{i,n}^{1/2}\; \|K^2\|^{1/2}} \le \frac{1}{4}, \tag{165}$$

if $\psi_n = 256\, \kappa^2\, \sigma^{-2}\, D_n\, h_n$. Furthermore, using the first equality in (165), (2), (10), (37), (119) and Hölder's inequality, we get

$$|\mathrm{cov}(Y_n(x), U)| \le q_{i,n}^{-1/2}\, \mathbf{P}^{1/2}\left\{ X \in [x - h_n/2, x + h_n/2] \right\} \le \psi_n^{-1/2}\, P_n^{1/2} \le \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}}, \tag{166}$$

if $\psi_n = 256\, \kappa^2\, \sigma^{-2}\, P_n$.

Applying part (84) of Lemma 4 and using (93), (163), (165), (166) and inequality (142) of Lemma 8 in the case $\mathbf{P}\{\zeta = 1\} = 1$ together with inequality (140), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\left[|T_\eta(x)|\; u_{i,n}\, q_{i,n}^{-1/2} \right] &\le \frac{A}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\mathbf{E}\,|Y_n(x)|^3 + \mathbf{E}\,|U|^3 \right) \\ &\le \frac{A}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\frac{\|K^3\|}{\|K^2\|^{3/2}\, \sqrt{f(x)\, h_n}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_{i,n}}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{167}$$

Using (30), (35), (48), (79), (108), (134), (150), (155), (160)–(162) and (167), we get (159):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max_{i\in\Upsilon_3} \frac{|\operatorname{cov}(\delta_{i,n},u_{i,n})|}{(\operatorname{Var}(u_{i,n})\operatorname{Var}(\delta_{i,n}))^{1/2}} \\
\leq\ & A \max_{i\in\Upsilon_3} \frac{q_{i,n}^{1/2}\sqrt{\lambda(I_{i,n})\ \lambda(I_{i,n})}}{\sigma_n(C_n)\,(q_{i,n}\operatorname{Var}(\delta_{i,n}))^{1/2}} \\
& \times \max_{x\in E_n}\left\{\left(\frac{\|K^3\|}{\|K^2\|^{3/2}\sqrt{f(x)\,h_n}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{q_{i,n}}}\right)\sqrt{\frac{f(x)\,\|K^2\|}{n\,h_n}}\right\} \\
\leq\ & \frac{A\ (D_n\,\beta_n^{-1}\,\kappa^2\,\sigma^{-2})^{1/2}}{\sigma\,\beta_n^{1/2}\sqrt{n}} \\
& \times \max_{x\in E_n}\left\{\left(\frac{\|K^3\|}{\|K^2\|^{3/2}\sqrt{f(x)\,h_n}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\psi_n}}\right)\sqrt{f(x)\,\|K^2\|}\right\}\to 0, \qquad \text{as } n\to\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\sigma_n(C_n)\ \operatorname{cov}(S_n,U_n)=(1-\alpha_n)^{1/2}\int_{C_n}\mathbf{E}\left[|T_\eta(x)|\ U_n\,(1-\alpha_n)^{-1/2}\right]\sqrt{k_n(x)}\,dx \tag{168}$$

and

$$\left|\operatorname{cov}(T_\eta(x),U_n\,(1-\alpha_n)^{-1/2})\right|\leq 1/4.$$

Applying part (84) of Lemma 4 and using (17), (93) and again inequality (142) of Lemma 8 in the case $\mathbf{P}\{\zeta=1\}=1$ coupled with inequality (140), we get

$$\mathbf{E}\left[|T_\eta(x)|\ U_n\,(1-\alpha_n)^{-1/2}\right]\leq\frac{A}{\sqrt{n}}\left(\frac{\|K^3\|}{\|K^2\|^{3/2}\sqrt{f(x)\,h_n}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha_n}}\right). \tag{169}$$

By (125),

$$\lambda(C_n)\leq\lambda(E_n). \tag{170}$$

Using (30), (35), (108), (112), (134), (168)–(170), we get (158):

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\operatorname{cov}(S_n,U_n)|\leq\frac{A\,(1-\alpha_n)^{1/2}\,\lambda(E_n)}{\sigma_n(C_n)} \\
& \times\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\max_{x\in E_n}\left\{\left(\frac{\|K^3\|}{\|K^2\|^{3/2}\sqrt{f(x)\,h_n}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\alpha_n}}\right)\sqrt{f(x)\ \|K^2\|\ h_n^{-1}}\right\} \\
\leq\ & \frac{A\ \|K^3\|\ \lambda(E_n)}{\sigma\ \|K^2\|\sqrt{nh_n^2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Below, for $z = (z_1, z_2)$, $u = (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbf{C}^2$, we shall use the notation

$$|z| = |z_1| + |z_2|\,, \quad \|z\|^2 = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2\,, \quad \langle z, u\rangle = z_1\overline{u_1} + z_2\overline{u_2}.$$

We shall write $\Gamma_r\{\xi\}$ for the k-th cumulant of a random variable ξ. Recall that if, for some $c > 0$, a random variable ξ has finite exponential moments $\mathbf{E}\, e^{z\xi}$, $z \in \mathbf{C}$, $|z| < c$, then (choosing $\log 1 = 0$)

$$\log \mathbf{E}\, e^{z\xi} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_r\{\xi\}\ z^r}{r!} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_r\{\xi\} = \frac{d^r}{dz^r} \log \mathbf{E}\, e^{z\xi}\bigg|_{z=0}. \tag{171}$$

Clearly, $\Gamma_0\{\xi\} = 0$, $\Gamma_1\{\xi\} = \mathbf{E}\,\xi$, $\Gamma_2\{\xi\} = \mathrm{Var}(\xi)$,

$$\Gamma_r\{a\xi\} = a^r \Gamma_r\{\xi\}\,, \quad r = 0, 1, \ldots. \tag{172}$$

In the two-dimensional case, when $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$ is a bivariate random vector, if $\left|\mathbf{E}\, e^{\langle z,\xi\rangle}\right| < \infty$, $z \in \mathbf{C}^2$, $|z| < c$, $c > 0$, then

$$\log \mathbf{E}\, e^{\langle z,\xi\rangle} = \sum_{r_1,r_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_{r_1,r_2}\{\xi\}\ z_1^{r_1}\, z_2^{r_2}}{r_1!\, r_2!}, \text{ where } \Gamma_{r_1,r_2}\{\xi\} = \frac{\partial^{r_1+r_2}}{\partial z_1^{r_1}\, \partial z_2^{r_2}} \log \mathbf{E}\, e^{\langle z,\xi\rangle}\bigg|_{z=0}. \tag{173}$$

Lemma 11 (a particular case of Heinrich [11], Lemma 5)**.** *Let $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \ldots, \zeta_m$ be 1-dependent bivariate random vectors with zero means. Let Λ_i^2 be the maximal eigenvalue of the covariance matrix of ζ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Let λ^2 be the minimal eigenvalue of the covariance matrix $\mathbf{B}$ of $\Xi = \zeta_1 + \zeta_2 + \cdots + \zeta_m$. Set $\Theta = \mathbf{B}^{-1/2}\Xi$. Assume that there exists a constant $H \geq 1/2$ and a real number γ such that*

$$18\, H \max_{1\leq i\leq m} \Lambda_i^2 \leq \gamma^2 \tag{174}$$

and, for any $t \in \mathbf{R}^2$,

$$|\mathbf{E}\ \langle t, \zeta_i\rangle^r| \leq H\, r!\, \gamma^{r-2}\ |t|^{r-2}\ \mathrm{Var}\left(\langle t, \zeta_i\rangle\right), \quad i = 1, \ldots, m, \quad r = 3, 4, \ldots. \tag{175}$$

Then

$$\sup_{\|t\|=1} |\Gamma_r\{\langle t, \Theta\rangle\}| \leq H^*\,(r-2)! \left(8\sqrt{2}\,\gamma/\lambda\right)^{r-2}, \quad r = 2, 3, \ldots, \tag{176}$$

where $H^* = 280\, H\, \lambda^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^m \Lambda_i^2$.

Note that (175) is automatically satisfied for $r = 2$, since $H \geq 1/2$.

Lemma 12. *For sufficiently large $n \geq n_0$, we have, uniformly in $i = 1, \ldots, s_n$,*

$$\mathbf{E}\ |t_1\,\delta_{i,n} + t_2\,u_{i,n}|^r \leq A\,r!\,\gamma_n^{r-2}\ \|t\|^{r-2}\ \mathrm{Var}(t_1\,\delta_{i,n} + t_2\,u_{i,n}), \tag{177}$$

for all integers $r \geq 2$ and for all $t = (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbf{R}^2$, where

$$\gamma_n = A\,\left(\Psi_n^{3/2}\ \max_{i\in\Upsilon_3} p_{i,n}^{1/2} + \max_{1\leq i\leq s_n} q_{i,n}^{1/2}\right) \to 0,\ \ \textit{as}\ n \to \infty, \tag{178}$$

and Ψ_n is defined in (47)*. Moreover, for all integers $r \geq 3$,*

$$\sup_{\|t\|=1} |\Gamma_r\,\{t_1\,S_n + t_2\,U_n\}| \leq (r-2)!\,(A\,\gamma_n)^{r-2}\,. \tag{179}$$

Proof. Let us prove (177). Without loss of generality we assume that

$$\|t\| = 1. \tag{180}$$

Applying inequality (142) of Lemma 8 in the case $\mathbf{P}\,\{\zeta = 1\} = 1 - \mathbf{P}\,\{\zeta = 0\} = q_{i,n}$ (see (154)) coupled with inequality (140), we get, for $i = 1, \ldots, s_n$,

$$\mathbf{E}\ |u_{i,n}|^r \leq A^r\,n^{-r/2}\ \left(r^{r/2}\ (n\,q_{i,n})^{r/2} + r^r\,n\,q_{i,n}\right). \tag{181}$$

Using (155) and (181), we obtain

$$\mathbf{E}\ |u_{i,n}|^r \leq A^r\,r^r\ \left(q_{i,n} + n^{-1}\right)^{r/2-1}\ \mathrm{Var}(u_{i,n}). \tag{182}$$

Relation (159) of Lemma 10 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Var}(t_1\,\delta_{i,n} + t_2\,u_{i,n}) &= t_1^2\,\mathrm{Var}(\delta_{i,n}) + t_2^2\,\mathrm{Var}(u_{i,n}) + 2\,t_1 t_2\,\mathrm{cov}(\delta_{i,n}, u_{i,n}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\,\left(t_1^2\,\mathrm{Var}(\delta_{i,n}) + t_2^2\,\mathrm{Var}(u_{i,n})\right),\end{aligned} \tag{183}$$

if $n \geq n_0$ is large enough (for $i \notin \Upsilon_3$ inequality (183) is trivial, see (138)). Recall that $nh_n^2 \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, (48), (79) and (119) imply that

$$n^{-1} \leq q_{i,n}, \quad \text{for } i = 2, \ldots, s_n - 1 \tag{184}$$

and sufficiently large $n \geq n_0$. Notice that $y \leq (y+1)^{r-2}$, for $y \geq 0$, $r \geq 2$. Moreover, by (10), (30) and (47), we have $\Psi_n \geq 1/4$. Hence, applying Lemma 9 together with (47), (138), (178) and (180)–(183), we get (177):

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{E}\ |t_1\,\delta_{i,n} + t_2\,u_{i,n}|^r \\ \leq\ & 2^r\,\mathbf{E}\ |t_1\,\delta_{i,n}|^r + 2^r\,\mathbf{E}\ |t_2\,u_{i,n}|^r \\ \leq\ & A^r\,r^r\,\Big(p_{i,n}^{r/2-1}\ \left(\left\|K^2\right\|\ D_n\,\beta_n^{-1}\,\kappa^2\,\sigma^{-4}\right)^{r/2}\ t_1^2\,\mathrm{Var}(\delta_{i,n}) \\ & + \left(q_{i,n} + n^{-1}\right)^{r/2-1}\,t_2^2\,\mathrm{Var}(u_{i,n})\Big) \\ \leq\ & A\,r!\,\gamma_n^{r-2}\,\left(t_1^2\,\mathrm{Var}(\delta_{i,n}) + t_2^2\,\mathrm{Var}(u_{i,n})\right) \\ \leq\ & A\,r!\,\gamma_n^{r-2}\,\mathrm{Var}(t_1\,\delta_{i,n} + t_2\,u_{i,n}),\end{aligned} \tag{185}$$

for sufficiently large $n \geq n_0$. Using (185) for $r = 4$ and Hölder's inequality, we get

$$(\mathrm{Var}(t_1\,\delta_{i,n} + t_2\,u_{i,n}))^2 \leq \mathbf{E}\,|t_1\,\delta_{i,n} + t_2\,u_{i,n}|^4 \leq A\,\gamma_n^2\,\mathrm{Var}(t_1\,\delta_{i,n} + t_2\,u_{i,n}).$$

Hence,

$$\mathrm{Var}(t_1\,\delta_{i,n} + t_2\,u_{i,n}) \leq A\,\gamma_n^2, \quad \text{for} \quad \|t\| = 1. \tag{186}$$

Limit relation (178) follows from (35), (47), (48), (119) and (121).

We shall apply Lemma 11 with $m = s_n$,

$$H = A_1, \quad \gamma = A_2\gamma_n, \quad \lambda^2 = \min_{\|t\|=1} \mathrm{Var}(t_1\,S_n + t_2\,U_n), \quad \zeta_i = (\delta_{i,n}, u_{i,n}), \tag{187}$$

$$\Lambda_i^2 = \max_{\|t\|=1} \mathrm{Var}(t_1\,\delta_{i,n} + t_2\,u_{i,n}) \leq 2\,\mathrm{Var}(\delta_{i,n}) + 2\,\mathrm{Var}(u_{i,n}), \quad i = 1, \ldots, s_n, \tag{188}$$

$$H^* = 280\,H\,\lambda^{-2}\sum_{i=1}^{s_n}\Lambda_i^2, \quad \Xi = (S_n, U_n) \in \mathbf{R}^2, \quad \Theta = \mathbf{B}^{-1/2}\Xi, \tag{189}$$

where $\mathbf{B}$ is the covariance operator of Ξ. Fixing $A_1 = A$ from (177), using (186) and (188) and choosing A_2 to be large enough, we ensure the validity of the inequality

$$18\,H \max_{1\leq i\leq s_n} \Lambda_i^2 \leq \gamma^2. \tag{190}$$

Using (125), (126), (134), (138), (149) and Lemma 7, we obtain (for sufficiently large $n \geq n_0$)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s_n} \mathrm{Var}(\delta_{i,n}) = \sum_{i\in\Upsilon_3} \frac{\sigma_n^2(I_{i,n})}{\sigma_n^2(C_n)} \leq 4 \sum_{i\in\Upsilon_3} \frac{\mathbf{P}(I_{i,n})\,\sigma^2}{\sigma^2} \leq 4. \tag{191}$$

By (156) and (157),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s_n} \mathrm{Var}(u_{i,n}) = 1 - \alpha_n. \tag{192}$$

Now (188), (191) and (192) imply

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s_n} \Lambda_i^2 \leq 10. \tag{193}$$

Furthermore, by (35), (112), (157), (187) and inequality (158) of Lemma 10,

$$\lambda \geq \min\{\mathrm{Var}(S_n), \mathrm{Var}(U_n)\} - 2\,|\,\mathrm{cov}(S_n, U_n)| \geq 1/2, \tag{194}$$

$$\mu \leq \max\{\mathrm{Var}(S_n), \mathrm{Var}(U_n)\} + 2\,|\,\mathrm{cov}(S_n, U_n)| \leq 2, \tag{195}$$

for sufficiently large $n \ge n_0$, where μ is the maximal eigenvalue of the covariance matrix $\mathbf{B}$. Applying Lemma 11 and taking into account relations $\Xi = \mathbf{B}^{1/2}\Theta$, (172), (187)–(190) and (193)–(195), we obtain, for $r \ge 3$, $n \ge n_0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{\|t\|=1} |\Gamma_r \{t_1 S_n + t_2 U_n\}| &\le A^r \sup_{\|t\|=1} |\Gamma_r \{\langle t, \Theta\rangle\}| \\ &\le A^r H^* (r-2)! \left(8\sqrt{2}\,\gamma/\lambda\right)^{r-2} \le (r-2)! \,(A\,\gamma_n)^{r-2},\end{aligned}$$

proving (179).

The following fact is well known. It may be easily derived from Remark 2 in Rivlin [17], p. 96. It allows us to estimate coefficients of a polynomial via its maximum on an interval.

Lemma 13. *Let $\mathbb{P}(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_r x^r$ be a polynomial of degree not exceeding r. Then*

$$|a_k| \le \max\left\{\left|t_k^{(r)}\right|, \left|t_k^{(r-1)}\right|\right\} \max_{-1\le x\le 1} |\mathbb{P}(x)|,$$

where $t_k^{(r)}$ are coefficients of $\mathbb{T}_r$, the Chebyshev polynomial of order r.

The Chebyshev polynomial

$$\mathbb{T}_r(x) = t_0^{(r)} + t_1^{(r)} x + \cdots + t_r^{(r)} x^r, \quad r = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

is characterized as having the maximal leading coefficient $t_r^{(r)} = 2^{r-1}$ among all polynomials $\mathbb{P}(x)$ with $\max_{-1\le x\le 1} |\mathbb{P}(x)| \le 1$. We have

$$\mathbb{T}_0(x) = 1, \quad \mathbb{T}_1(x) = x, \quad \mathbb{T}_r(x) = 2x\,\mathbb{T}_{r-1}(x) - \mathbb{T}_{r-2}(x), \quad r = 2, 3, \ldots, \tag{196}$$

see Rivlin ([17], formulas (1.11), (1.101)). By induction in r, it is easy to derive from (196) the rough bound

$$\sum_{k=0}^{r} \left|t_k^{(r)}\right| \le 3^{r-1}, \quad r = 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{197}$$

Let us consider the definition and some useful properties of classes of d-dimensional distributions $\mathcal{A}_d(\tau)$, $\tau \ge 0$, introduced in Zaitsev [19], see as well Zaitsev [20], [21] and [22]. The class $\mathcal{A}_d(\tau)$ (with a fixed $\tau \ge 0$) consists of d-dimensional distributions F for which the function

$$\varphi(z) = \varphi(F, z) = \log \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} e^{\langle z, x\rangle} F\{dx\} \qquad (\varphi(0) = 0)$$

is defined and analytic for $\|z\|\,\tau < 1$, $z \in \mathbf{C}^d$, and

$$\left|d_u d_v^2\, \varphi(z)\right| \le \|u\|\tau\ \langle \mathbb{D}\, v, v\rangle \qquad \text{for all } u, v \in \mathbf{R}^d \text{ and } \|z\|\,\tau < 1,$$

where $\mathbb{D}$ is the covariance operator corresponding to F, and $d_u\varphi$ denotes the derivative of the function φ in direction u. It is easy to see that $\tau_1 < \tau_2$ implies $\mathcal{A}_d(\tau_1) \subset \mathcal{A}_d(\tau_2)$. Moreover, the class $\mathcal{A}_d(\tau)$ is closed with respect to convolution: if $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{A}_d(\tau)$, then $F_1 * F_2 \in \mathcal{A}_d(\tau)$. The class $\mathcal{A}_d(0)$ coincides with the class of all Gaussian distributions in $\mathbf{R}^d$.

Lemma 14. *For sufficiently large $n \geq n_0$, we have*

$$G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{L}((S_n, U_n)) \in \mathcal{A}_2(\tau_n), \qquad \textit{where} \tag{198}$$

$$\tau_n = A\gamma_n = A\left(\Psi_n^{3/2} \max_{i\in\Upsilon_3} p_{i,n}^{1/2} + \max_{1\leq i\leq s_n} q_{i,n}^{1/2}\right) \to 0, \ \textit{as } n \to \infty, \tag{199}$$

with Ψ_n defined in (47).

Proof. Comparing formulas (171) and (173), we see that

$$\frac{\Gamma_r\{t_1 S_n + t_2 U_n\}}{r!} = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \frac{\Gamma_{k,r-k}\{(S_n, U_n)\}\, t_1^k t_2^{r-k}}{k!\,(r-k)!}, \quad r = 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{200}$$

Define polynomials $\mathbb{P}_r(x) = a_0^{(r)} + a_1^{(r)}x + \cdots + a_r^{(r)}x^r$ with

$$a_k^{(r)} = \frac{\Gamma_{k,r-k}\{(S_n, U_n)\}}{k!\,(r-k)!}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, r. \tag{201}$$

By inequality (179) of Lemma 3.5, (172) and (200), we have, for $r = 3, 4, \ldots$:

$$\max_{-1\leq x\leq 1} |\mathbb{P}_r(x)| \leq \frac{1}{r!} \sup_{\|t\|\leq\sqrt{2}} |\Gamma_r\{t_1 S_n + t_2 U_n\}| \leq \frac{2^{r/2}(r-2)!}{r!}(A\gamma_n)^{r-2}, \tag{202}$$

if $n \geq n_0$ is sufficiently large. Applying Lemma 13 and relations (197), (201) and (202), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{k,r-k}\{(S_n, U_n)\}| &\leq \frac{3^{r-1}2^{r/2}(r-2)!\,k!\,(r-k)!}{r!}(A\gamma_n)^{r-2}, \\ &\leq (r-2)!\,(A\gamma_n)^{r-2}, \quad k = 0, 1, \ldots, r, \quad r = 3, 4, \ldots. \end{aligned} \tag{203}$$

Further, expanding, for $u = (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbf{R}^2$, $v = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbf{R}^2$, $w = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbf{C}^2$,

$$u = u_1 e_1 + u_2 e_2, \quad v = v_1 e_1 + v_2 e_2, \quad w = w_1 e_1 + w_2 e_2,$$

and rewriting $\Gamma_{r_1,r_2}\{(S_n, U_n)\}$ as

$$\Gamma_{r_1,r_2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Gamma_{r_1,r_2}\{(S_n, U_n)\} = d_{e_1}^{r_1} d_{e_2}^{r_2} \log \mathbf{E} \exp(z_1 S_n + z_2 U_n)\big|_{z=0},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d_u d_v^2 d_w^r \log \mathbf{E} \exp\left(z_1 S_n + z_2 U_n\right)\big|_{z=0} \\ = & \sum_{k=0}^{r} \frac{r!}{k!\,(r-k)!}\, w_1^k w_2^{r-k} (\Gamma_{k+3,r-k}\, u_1 v_1^2 + \Gamma_{k+2,r+1-k}\,(u_2 v_1^2 + 2\, u_1 v_1 v_2) \\ & \qquad + \Gamma_{k+1,r+2-k}\,(u_1 v_2^2 + 2\, u_2 v_1 v_2) + \Gamma_{k,r+3-k}\, u_2 v_2^2). \end{aligned}$$

Coupled with (203), this implies

$$\left| d_u d_v^2 d_w^r \log \mathbf{E} \exp\left(z_1 S_n + z_2 U_n\right)\big|_{z=0} \right| \le r!\, \|u\| \cdot \|v\|^2 \cdot \|w\|^r \cdot (A\,\gamma_n)^{r+1},$$

for $r = 0, 1, \ldots$. By Taylor's formula,

$$d_u d_v^2 \log \mathbf{E} \exp\left(z_1 S_n + z_2 U_n\right)\big|_{z=w} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_u d_v^2 d_w^r \log \mathbf{E} \exp\left(z_1 S_n + z_2 U_n\right)|_{z=0}}{r!}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left| d_u d_v^2 \log \mathbf{E} \exp\left(z_1 S_n + z_2 U_n\right) \right| \le A\,\gamma_n\, \|u\| \cdot \|v\|^2, \quad \text{for } \|z\| \cdot A\,\gamma_n \le 1,$$

for a suitably chosen absolute constant A. It remains to note that, by (35), (112), (157) and (158),

$$\mathrm{Var}(v_1 S_n + v_2 U_n) = v_1^2 \mathrm{Var}(S_n) + v_2^2 \mathrm{Var}(U_n) + 2\, v_1 v_2 \,\mathrm{cov}(S_n, U_n) \ge \|v\|^2 / 2,$$

for sufficiently large $n \ge n_0$. Limit relation (199) is a consequence of (178).

4 Exponential bound for the integral over an exceptional set

The proof of the following Lemma 15 is similar to the proof of Giné, Mason and Zaitsev [10], Proposition 3.1.

Lemma 15. *Let B be a Borel subset of $\mathbf{R}$,*

$$\xi_n = \int_B \left(\Delta_n(x) - \mathbf{E}\,\Delta_n(x)\right)\, dx \tag{204}$$

where

$$\Delta_n(x) = \sqrt{n}\,|f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}\, f_n(x)| = \frac{1}{h_n \sqrt{n}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h_n}\right) - \mathbf{E}\, K\left(\frac{x - X}{h_n}\right) \right\} \right|. \tag{205}$$

Then

$$\mathbf{E}\,\exp\{\lambda|\xi_n|\} \le 4\,\exp\left\{\sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{720\,e\lambda\kappa}{\log m}\right)^m\left(\Omega^{m/2}(n,B)+\frac{1}{n^{m/2-1}}\,\Omega(n,B)\right)\right\}, \tag{206}$$

for all $\lambda \ge 0$.

Proof. Let $X, X_1, X_1', X_2, X_2', \ldots,$ be i.i.d. random variables. Further, we let η be a Poisson random variable with mean n, independent of $X_1, X_1', X_2, X_2', \ldots,$ and set

$$\Delta_\eta(x) = \frac{1}{h_n\sqrt{n}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{\eta} K\left(\frac{x-X_i}{h_n}\right) - n\,\mathbf{E}\,K\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\right|.$$

Define

$$\overline{\xi}_n = \int_B \left(\Delta_n(x) - \mathbf{E}\,\Delta_\eta(x)\right)\,dx. \tag{207}$$

Let $\mathcal{I}_s$, $s = 1, \ldots, 6$, be a partition of the integers $\mathbf{Z}$ such that:

i) if $i \ne j \in \mathcal{I}_s$ then $|i-j| \ge 2$, and

ii) for every $s = 1, \ldots, 6$, $\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}_s} \mathbf{P}\left\{X \in ((i-1/2)h_n, (i+3/2)h_n]\right\} \le 1/2$,

and set

$$A_s = \cup_{i\in\mathcal{I}_s} B_{j,n}, \quad s = 1, \ldots, 6, \quad \text{where } B_{j,n} = (ih_n, (i+1)h_n] \cap B,$$

Now, replacing K_1, K_2, η_n and $(ih_n, (i+1)h_n]$ in the proof of inequalities (3.5), (3.7), (3.8) and (3.13) in Giné, Mason and Zaitsev [10] by K, 0, η and $B_{j,n}$, respectively, and using the arguments therein, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\,\exp\{\lambda|\xi_n|\} &\le \mathbf{E}\,\exp\left\{2\lambda|\overline{\xi}_n|\right\} \qquad (208)\\
&\le \prod_{s=1}^{6}\left(\mathbf{E}\,\exp\left\{12\lambda\left|\int_{A_s}\left(\Delta_n(x)-\mathbf{E}\,\Delta_\eta(x)\right)dx\right|\right\}\right)^{1/6}\\
&\le 2\prod_{s=1}^{6}\left(\mathbf{E}\,\exp\left\{12\lambda\left|\int_{A_s}\left(\Delta_\eta(x)-\mathbf{E}\,\Delta_\eta(x)\right)dx\right|\right\}\right)^{1/6}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exp\left\{12\lambda\left|\int_{A_s}\left(\Delta_\eta(x)-\mathbf{E}\,\Delta_\eta(x)\right)\,dx\right|\right\} \qquad (209)\\
\le\ & 2\,\exp\left\{\sum_{j\in\mathcal{I}_s}\sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{720e\lambda}{\log m}\right)^m\left[\left(\int_{B_{j,n}}\frac{1}{h_n}\mathbf{E}\,K^2\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\,dx\right)^{m/2}\right.\right.\\
&\left.\left.+\frac{1}{n^{m/2-1}}\int_{B_{j,n}}\frac{1}{h_n}\mathbf{E}\left|K\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\right|^m dx\right]\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by a change of variables,

$$\sum_{j\in\mathcal{I}_s}\left(\int_{B_{j,n}}\frac{1}{h_n}\mathbf{E}\,K^2\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\,dx\right)^{m/2}\leq\left(\sum_{j\in\mathcal{I}_s}\int_{B_{j,n}}\frac{1}{h_n}\mathbf{E}\,K^2\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\,dx\right)^{m/2}$$
$$\leq\left(\mathbf{E}\int_B\frac{1}{h_n}K^2\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\,dx\right)^{m/2}$$

Using (2), (3), (56), (57) and (59), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}\,\frac{1}{h_n}\int_B K^2\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\,dx &\leq \kappa^2\,h_n^{-1}\int_B\mathbf{P}\{X\in[x-h_n/2,x+h_n/2]\}\,dx\\ &\leq \kappa^2\,\Omega(n,B).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\frac{1}{n^{m/2-1}}\sum_{j\in\mathcal{I}_s}\int_{B_{j,n}}\frac{1}{h_n}\mathbf{E}\left|K\left(\frac{x-X}{h_n}\right)\right|^m\,dx$$
$$\leq\frac{\kappa^m\,h_n^{-1}}{n^{m/2-1}}\int_B\mathbf{P}\{X\in[x-h_n/2,x+h_n/2]\}\,dx\leq\frac{\kappa^m}{n^{m/2-1}}\,\Omega(n,B).$$

Then, combining these estimates with (56) and (209), we obtain

$$\mathbf{E}\,\exp\left\{12\lambda\left|\int_{A_s}(\Delta_\eta(x)-\mathbf{E}\,\Delta_\eta(x))\,dx\right|\right\}\tag{210}$$
$$\leq\ 2\exp\left\{\sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{720e\lambda\kappa}{\log m}\right)^m\left(\Omega^{m/2}(n,B)+\frac{1}{n^{m/2-1}}\,\Omega(n,B)\right)\right\}.$$

Inequalities (208) and (210) imply (206).

5 Proof of Theorems 2, 4 and 5

Note now that for any absolute constant A we have

$$A/\sqrt{n}\leq\tau_n,\tag{211}$$

for sufficiently large $n\geq n_0$ (see (184) and (199)). Therefore, by Example 1.2 in Zaitsev [19],

$$H\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}\mathcal{L}\left((0,V_n)\right)\in\mathcal{A}_2\left(A/\sqrt{n}\right)\subset\mathcal{A}_2\left(\tau_n\right).\tag{212}$$

Hence, by (198) and (212),

$$Q\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}\mathcal{L}\left((S_n,U_n)+(0,V_n)\right)\in\mathcal{A}_2\left(\tau_n\right)\tag{213}$$

(recall that (S_n, U_n) is independent of V_n).

The following below Lemmas 16 and 17 are proved in Zaitsev [23]. They provide estimates of the rate of convergence in a lemma of Beirlant and Mason [1], see as well Giné, Mason and Zaitsev [10], Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 16. *Let (for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$) $\eta_{1,n}$ and $\eta_{2,n}$ be independent Poisson random variables with $\eta_{1,n}$ being Poisson $(n(1-\alpha_n))$ and $\eta_{2,n}$ being Poisson $(n\alpha_n)$ where $\alpha_n \in (0,1)$. Denote $\eta_n = \eta_{1,n} + \eta_{2,n}$ and set*

$$U_n = \frac{\eta_{1,n} - n(1-\alpha_n)}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \text{and} \quad V_n = \frac{\eta_{2,n} - n\alpha_n}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Let $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of random variables such that for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, the random vector (S_n, U_n) is independent of V_n. Assume that $\mathrm{Var}(S_n) = 1$,

$$\mathcal{L}\left((S_n, U_n) + (0, V_n)\right) \in \mathcal{A}_2\left(\tau_n\right), \tag{214}$$

and

$$|\chi_n| \le 1/2, \tag{215}$$

where

$$\chi_n = \mathrm{cov}\left(S_n, U_n\right). \tag{216}$$

Then there exist absolute constants A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6 such that, for τ_n satisfying the estimates

$$5\alpha_n^{-1} \exp\left\{-5\alpha_n/432\,\tau_n^2\right\} \le \tau_n, \tag{217}$$

$$A_3\, n^{-1/2} \le \tau_n \le A_4, \tag{218}$$

and for any fixed $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $y > 0$, one can construct on a probability space random variables ζ_n and Z so that the distribution of ζ_n is the conditional distribution of S_n given $\eta_n = n$, Z is a standard normal random variable and

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{\left|\sqrt{1-\chi_n^2}\, Z - \zeta_n\right| \ge\ y\right\} \le A_5 \exp\left\{-A_6\, y/\tau_n\right\}. \tag{219}$$

Lemma 17. *Let the conditions of Lemma* 16 *be satisfied. Then there exists absolute constants A_7, A_8, A_9, A_{10} such that, for any fixed $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and b satisfying*

$$A_3 n^{-1/2} \le \tau_n \le A_7 b, \qquad b \le 1, \tag{220}$$

one can construct on a probability space random variables ζ_n and Z with distributions described in Lemma 16 *so that, for any $y > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{P}\left\{\left|\sqrt{1-\chi_n^2}\, Z - \zeta_n\right| \ge A_{10} \exp\left\{-b^2/72\tau_n^2\right\} + y\right\} \\ \le\ & A_8 \exp\left\{-A_9\, y/\tau_n\right\} + 2\,\mathbf{P}\left\{|\omega| > y/6\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{221}$$

where ω have the centered normal distribution with variance b^2.

Comparing Lemmas 16 and 17, we observe that in Lemma 16 the probability space depends essentially on y, while the statement (221) of Lemma 17 is valid on the same probability space (depending on b) for any $y > 0$. However, (221) is weaker than (219) for some values of y. The same rate of approximation (as in (219)) is contained in (221) if $b^2 \geq 72\tau_n^2 \log(1/\tau_n)$ and $y \geq b^2/\tau_n$ only.

Now we return to the estimation and note that, for random variables S_n, U_n and V_n defined in (151)–(153), the conditions of Lemmas 16 and 17 are satisfied (with τ_n defined in (199) and $\eta_n = \eta$) for $n \geq n_0$. Indeed, by (118)–(120), we have

$$\tau_n^* \geq \tau_n, \tag{222}$$

if the constants A in (39) and (199) are chosen in a suitable way. Limit relation (39) follows from (35), (47) and (48). By (39), (46) and (222), α_n is chosen so that condition (217) is satisfied for $n \geq n_0$. Note that by (199) and (211), condition (218) and the first inequality in (220) are fulfilled for sufficiently large $n \geq n_0$. Moreover, by (35), and (158), χ_n (defined in (216)) tends to zero, as $n \to \infty$, and condition (215) is satisfied for sufficiently large $n \geq n_0$. Thus, we can apply to S_n, U_n, V_n the statements of Lemmas 16 and 17.

By Lemma 16, for sufficiently large fixed $n \geq n_0$ and for any fixed $y > 0$, one can construct on a probability space random variables ζ_n and Z so that the distribution of ζ_n is the conditional distribution of S_n given $\eta = n$,

$$\zeta_n =_d \sigma_n^{-1}(C_n) \int_{C_n} (\Delta_n(x) - \mathbf{E}\,\Delta_\eta(x))\, dx \tag{223}$$

(see (136), (137), (151) and (205)) and a standard normal random variable Z so that

$$\mathbf{P}\left\{\left|\sqrt{1-\chi_n^2}\, Z - \zeta_n\right| \geq y\right\} \leq A_5 \exp\{-A_6\, y/\tau_n\}. \tag{224}$$

By Lemma 17, for sufficiently large fixed $n \geq n_0$ and for any fixed b satisfying

$$\tau_n \leq A_7 b, \qquad b \leq 1, \tag{225}$$

one can construct on a probability space a random variable ζ_n with distribution described in (223) and a standard normal random variable Z so that, for any $y > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{P}\left\{\left|\sqrt{1-\chi_n^2}\, Z - \zeta_n\right| \geq A_{10} \exp\left\{-b^2/72\tau_n^2\right\} + y\right\} \\ \leq\ & A_8 \exp\{-A_9\, y/\tau_n\} + 2\,\mathbf{P}\{|\omega| > y/6\}, \end{aligned} \tag{226}$$

where ω have the centered normal distribution with variance b^2.

In both cases described above we can apply Lemma A of Berkes and Philipp [2] assuming that there exists a sequence of i.i.d. random variables $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ with probability density f and such that

$$\zeta_n = \sigma_n^{-1}(C_n) \int_{C_n} (\Delta_n(x) - \mathbf{E}\,\Delta_\eta(x))\, dx, \tag{227}$$

where $\Delta_n(x)$ is defined in (205).

By (1), (11), (35), (125), (137), (205) and Lemma 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_n} |\mathbf{E}\,\Delta_n(x) - \mathbf{E}\,\Delta_\eta(x)|\,dx \qquad (228)\\ = \;& \sqrt{n}\int_{C_n} |\mathbf{E}\,|f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}\,f_n(x)| - \mathbf{E}\,|f_\eta(x) - \mathbf{E}\,f_n(x)||\,dx \\ \le \;& \sqrt{n}\int_{E_n} |\mathbf{E}\,|f_n(x) - \mathbf{E}\,f_n(x)| - \mathbf{E}\,|f_\eta(x) - \mathbf{E}\,f_n(x)||\,dx \\ \le \;& \frac{A\,\lambda(E_n)\;\|K^3\|}{\|K^2\|\,\sqrt{nh_n^2}} + \frac{A\,\mathbb{N}_n\sqrt{h_n}}{\sqrt{\|K^2\|}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} y_n \end{aligned}$$

and $y_n \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$. Applying Lemma 15 for $B = \overline{C}_n$, we see that

$$\mathbf{E}\,\exp\{\lambda|\xi_n|\} \le 4\,\exp\left\{\sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{720e\lambda\kappa}{\log m}\right)^m\left(\Omega^{m/2}(n,\overline{C}_n) + \frac{1}{n^{m/2-1}}\,\Omega(n,\overline{C}_n)\right)\right\}, \qquad (229)$$

for all $\lambda \ge 0$, where

$$\xi_n = \int_{\overline{C}_n} (\Delta_n(x) - \mathbf{E}\,\Delta_n(x))\,dx. \qquad (230)$$

By (45) and (79),

$$n^{1/2}\,\Omega_n \to \infty, \qquad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty, \qquad (231)$$

since we assume $nh_n^2 \to \infty$. Using (45), (131), (229) and (231), we obtain that, for sufficiently large $n \ge n_0$,

$$\mathbf{E}\,\exp\{\lambda|\xi_n|\} \le 4\,\exp\left\{\sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{A_{11}\,\lambda\,\kappa\,\Omega_n^{1/2}}{\log m}\right)^m\right\}, \qquad (232)$$

for all $\lambda \ge 0$. It may be shown that there exists an absolute constant A such that

$$\sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\mu}{\log m}\right)^m \le A\,\exp\{\exp\{A\,\mu\}\}, \qquad \text{for all } \mu > 0.$$

Applying the exponential Chebyshev inequality coupled with (232), where

$$\lambda = A_{12}\,\kappa^{-1}\,\Omega_n^{-1/2}\,\log^*\log^*(z/A_{11}\,\kappa\,\Omega_n^{1/2})$$

and A_{12} is sufficiently small, we obtain that

$$\mathbf{P}\,\{|\xi_n| \ge z\} \le A\exp\left\{-A^{-1}\,\kappa^{-1}\,\Omega_n^{-1/2}z\,\log^*\log^*(z/A\,\kappa\,\Omega_n^{1/2})\right\}, \quad \text{for any } z > 0. \qquad (233)$$

Inequalities (134), (224), (228) and (233) imply that, for any fixed $n \geq n_0$ and for any fixed $x > 0$, one can construct on a probability space a sequence of i.i.d. random variables X_1, $X_2, \ldots$, and a standard normal random variable Z so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}\left\{\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\Delta_n(x) - \mathbf{E}\,\Delta_n(x))\; dx - \sigma\, Z\right| \geq y_n + z + x\right\} \qquad (234) \\ \leq\; & A\left(\exp\left\{-A^{-1}\,\sigma^{-1} x/\tau_n\right\} + \exp\left\{-A^{-1}\kappa^{-1}\,\Omega_n^{-1/2} z\right\}\right. \\ +\; & \left.\mathbf{P}\left\{\left|\left(\sigma - \sigma_n(C_n)\sqrt{1-\chi_n^2}\right) Z\right| \geq z/2\right\}\right), \qquad \text{for any } z > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using (226) instead of (224), we establish that, for any fixed $n \geq n_0$ and for any fixed b satisfying (225), one can construct on a probability space a sequence of i.i.d. random variables X_1, $X_2, \ldots$ and a standard normal random variable Z so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}\left\{\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\Delta_n(x) - \mathbf{E}\,\Delta_n(x))\; dx - \sigma\, Z\right| \geq A\,\sigma\,\exp\left\{-b^2/72\tau_n^2\right\} + y_n + z + x\right\} \\ & \leq A\left(\exp\left\{-A^{-1}\,\sigma^{-1} x/\tau_n\right\} + \exp\{-A^{-1}\,\kappa^{-1}\,\Omega_n^{-1/2} z\,\log^*\log^*(z/A\,\kappa\,\Omega_n^{1/2})\}\right. \\ & \qquad + \mathbf{P}\left\{\left|\left(\sigma - \sigma_n(C_n)\sqrt{1-\chi_n^2}\right) Z\right| \geq z/2\right\} \qquad (235) \\ & \qquad \left. + \mathbf{P}\left\{b\,|Z| > A^{-1}\,\sigma^{-1} x\right\}\right), \qquad \text{for any } x, z > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by (10), (18), (20), (29), (45), (86), (125), (132), (158) and (216), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left|\,\sigma - \sigma_n(C_n)\sqrt{1-\chi_n^2}\,\right| \qquad (236) \\ \leq\; & |\,\sigma - \sigma_n(C_n)| + \sigma\,\left|\sqrt{1-\chi_n^2} - 1\right| \\ \leq\; & \sigma\,\left(1 - \sqrt{\mathbf{P}(E_n)}\right) + \left|\,\sigma_n(E_n) - \sigma\,\sqrt{\mathbf{P}(E_n)}\,\right| + \sigma_n(E_n \backslash C_n) + \sigma\,\chi_n^2 \\ \leq\; & \frac{A\,||K^2||}{\sigma\,h_n}\left(\mathbb{L}_n + \frac{\varepsilon_n\,\mathbb{M}_n}{\|K^2\|}\right) + A\,\kappa\,\Omega_n^{1/2} + \frac{A}{\sigma}\left(\frac{\|K^3\|\;\lambda(E_n)}{\|K^2\|\,\sqrt{n h_n^2}}\right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large $n \geq n_0$. Now inequality (38) follows from (205), (222), (234) and (236). Relations (35) and (133) imply the limit relation in (41). The proof of Theorem 4 repeats that of Theorem 2. The only difference is that we apply (235) instead of (234).

Proof of Theorem 5. Without loss of generality, we assume $x \geq 1$. By Theorem 2, for any $z > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - F(x) \leq 1 - \Phi\left(x - 2z - y_n/\sigma\right) + A\,\left(\exp\{-A^{-1}\,z/\tau_n^*\}\right. \\ & +\; \left.\exp\{-A^{-1}\,\kappa^{-1}\,\Omega_n^{-1/2}\sigma\,z\,\log^*\log^*(\sigma\,z/A\,\kappa\,\Omega_n^{1/2})\} + \mathbf{P}\left\{|\partial_n Z| \geq \sigma\,z/2\right\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$1 - F(x) \geq 1 - \Phi\left(x + 2z + y_n/\sigma\right) - A\,\left(\exp\{-A^{-1}\,z/\tau_n^*\}\right.$$
$$+\ \left.\exp\{-A^{-1}\,\kappa^{-1}\,\Omega_n^{-1/2}\sigma\,z\,\log^*\log^*(\sigma\,z/A\,\kappa\,\Omega_n^{1/2})\} + \mathbf{P}\,\{|\partial_n Z| \geq \sigma\,z/2\}\right).$$

Choosing here $z = \max\left\{\sqrt{\tau_n^* x},\ \Omega_n^{1/4}\sqrt{x}\,(\log^*\log^*(1/\,\Omega_n))^{-1/2}, \sqrt{\partial_n}\right\}$ and using elementary properties of normal distribution function, we get the result.

Acknowledgment

A big part of the results of this paper was obtained by the author while visiting the Delaware University. He would like to thank Professor David M. Mason for his hospitality and for the statement of the problem. Many steps of proofs of the present paper repeat corresponding steps of a detailed proof of Theorem 1 (due to Mason) from the joint paper Giné, Mason and Zaitsev [10]. The author thanks Paul Eggermont, Evarist Giné and David Mason for useful discussions. The author is grateful to the anonymous referee for careful reading the manuscript and useful suggestions.

References

[1] J. Beirlant and D. M. Mason, *On the asymptotic normality of L_p-norms of empirical functionals.* Math. Methods Statist. **4** (1995), 1–19.

[2] I. Berkes and W. Philipp, *Approximation theorems for independent and weakly dependent random vectors.* Ann. Probab. **7** (1979), 29–54.

[3] A. de Acosta, *Inequalities for B-valued random vectors with applications to the strong law of large numbers.* Ann. Probab. **9** (1981), 157–161.

[4] M. Csörgő and L. Horváth, *Central limit theorems for L_p-norms of density estimators.* Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete **80** (1988), 269–291.

[5] L. Devroye, *Exponential inequalites in nonparametric estimation.* In: Nonparametric Functional Estimation and Related Topics (G. Roussas ed.) 31–44, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1991.

[6] L. Devroye and L. Györfi, *Nonparametric Density Estimation: The L_1 View.* Wiley, New York, 1985.

[7] P. P. B. Eggermont and V. N. LaRiccia, *Maximum Penalized Likelihood Estimation, Volume 1; Density Estimation.* Springer, New York, 2001.

[8] G. B. Folland, *Real Analysis.* 2nd Edition, Wiley, New York, 1999.

[9] L. Horváth, *On L_p-norms of multivariate density estimators.* Ann. Statist. **19** (1991), 1933–1949.

[10] E. Giné, D. M. Mason and A. Yu. Zaitsev, *The L_1-norm density estimator process.* Ann. Probab. **31** (2003) (to appear).

[11] L. Heinrich, *Some estimates of the cumulant-generating function of a sum of m-dependent random vectors and their application to large deviations.* Math. Nachrichten **120** (1985), 91–101.

[12] R. Latała, *Estimation of moments of sums of independent random variables.* Ann. Probab. **25** (1997), 1502–1513.

[13] S. Nabeya, *Absolute moments in 2–dimensional normal distributions.* Ann. Inst. Statist. Math. Tokyo. **3** (1951), 2–6.

[14] I. F. Pinelis, *Inequalities for sums of independent random vectors and their application to estimating a density.* Theory Probab. Appl. **35** (1990), 605–607.

[15] I. F. Pinelis, *On a majorization inequality for sums of independent random variables.* Probab. Statist. Letters **19** (1994), 97–99.

[16] I. F. Pinelis, *Optimal bounds for the distributions of martingales in Banach spaces.* Ann. Probab. **22** (1994), 1679–1706.

[17] T. J. Rivlin, *The Chebyshev Polynomials.* Wiley, New York, 1974.

[18] T. J. Sweeting, *Speeds of convergence in the multidimensional central limit theorem.* Ann. Probab. **5** (1977), 28–41.

[19] A. Yu. Zaitsev, *Estimates of the Lévy–Prokhorov distance in the multivariate central limit theorem for random variables with finite exponential moments.* Theor. Probab. Appl. **31** (1986), 203–220.

[20] A. Yu. Zaitsev, *Estimates for quantiles of smooth conditional distributions and multidimensional invariance principle.* Siberian Math. J. **37** (1996), 807–831 (in Russian).

[21] A. Yu. Zaitsev, *Multidimensional version of the results of Komlós, Major and Tusnády for vectors with finite exponential moments.* ESAIM : Probability and Statistics **2** (1998), 41–108.

[22] A. Yu. Zaitsev, *Multidimensional version of the results of Sakhanenko in the invariance principle for vectors with finite exponential moments.* I; II; III, Theor. Probab. Appl. **45** (2000), 718–738; **46** (2001), 535–561; 744–769.

[23] A. Yu. Zaitsev, *Estimates of the rate of approximation in a de-Poissonization lemma.* Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Probab. Statist. **38** (2002), 1071–1086.